

[Cite as *State v. Walker*, 2005-Ohio-4831.]

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MIAMI COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	C.A. CASE NO. 2004 CA 24
v.	:	T.C. NO. 03 CR 370
RICHARD J. WALKER, SR.	:	(Criminal Appeal from
Defendant-Appellant	:	Common Pleas Court)
	:	

.....

OPINION

Rendered on the 16th day of September, 2005.

.....

JAMES D. BENNETT, Atty. Reg. No. 201 W. Main Street, Safety Building, Troy, Ohio 45373

Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

ANDREW J. GOTTMAN, Atty. Reg. No. 0071600, One South Main Street, Suite 1600, Dayton, Ohio 45402

Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

RICHARD J. WALKER, SR., Talbert House, 3129 Spring Grove Avenue, Cincinnati, Ohio 45225

Defendant-Appellant

.....

DONOVAN, J.

{¶ 1} Defendant-appellant Richard J. Walker, Sr., appeals from his no contest plea and sentence for one count of theft of a check, a felony of the fifth degree. Walker

was sentenced to a prison term of eight months. Walker's appellate counsel has filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967), 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d. 493, indicating that there are no meritorious issues to be presented on appeal. By entry filed June 1, 2005, we advised Walker that his appellate counsel had filed an *Anders* brief and allowed him sixty days within which to file his own pro se brief. Walker has not filed his own pro se brief.

{¶ 2} Pursuant to *Anders*, supra, we have independently reviewed the record. We agree with Walker's appellate counsel that there are no meritorious issues presented on appeal. We reviewed the entire record and found that the trial court complied with the requirements of Crim. R. 11 (C) in accepting Walker's no contest plea.

{¶ 3} After reviewing the transcript of the plea hearing, it is clear that the trial court properly determined that Walker's plea was made voluntarily and with an understanding of the nature of the charges. He was advised of the maximum penalty, as well as all the rights which he waived as a result of his plea.

{¶ 4} Finally, a review of the sentencing hearing transcript reveals that the trial court properly set forth the reasons for not sentencing Walker to the shortest term of incarceration possible. The court stated those reasons with particularity and concluded that the minimum term would demean the seriousness of the offense and would not adequately protect the public.

{¶ 5} We agree with Walker's appellate counsel that no meritorious issues are present in this appeal.

Judgment affirmed.

.....

BROGAN, P.J. and FAIN, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

James D. Bennett
Andrew J. Gottman
Richard J. Walker, Sr.
Hon. Jeffrey M. Welbaum