IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO :

Plaintiff-Appellee : C.A. CASE NO. 19158

v. : T.C. NO. 01 CR 2014

LEONARD JONES : (Criminal Appeal from

Common Pleas Court)

Defendant-Appellant

:

.

OPINION

Rendered on the <u>5th</u> day of <u>July</u>, 2002.

.

CARLEY J. INGRAM, Atty. Reg. No. 0020084, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, 301 W. Third Street, 5th Floor, Dayton, Ohio 45422 Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

DAMON E. LARRIER, Atty. Reg. No. 0072250, 6417 Tantamount Lane, Dayton, Ohio 45449

Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

LEONARD JONES, #416-970, Richland Correctional Institute, P. O. Box 8107, Mansfield, Ohio 44901

Defendant-Appellant

.

WOLFF, P. J.

{¶1} Leonard Jones entered a plea of no contest to a charge of felonious assault, a second degree felony. The trial court made a finding of guilty and, after

2

receiving a presentence investigation report, sentenced Jones to six years

incarceration. (The sentencing range was two to eight years). The trial court also

administratively terminated another felony case for which Jones was currently serving a

sentence of community control. On February 6, 2002, this court granted Jones' motion

to file a delayed appeal. Previously, the trial court had appointed counsel (different from

Jones' trial counsel) to prosecute an appeal.

{¶2} On April 8, 2002, appointed appellate counsel filed an Anders brief

pursuant to Anders v. California (1967), 368 U.S. 738, wherein he concluded that

"appellant Jones' appeal is wholly frivolous." Appointed appellate counsel represented

that he had investigated the case record conscientiously, researched the existing case

law, and had consulted with Jones' trial counsel. On April 10, 2002, we informed Jones

by decision and entry that his counsel had filed an Anders brief and the import of an

Anders brief, to-wit: that counsel had unearthed no arguably meritorious claims to

present to this court. We afforded Jones sixty days from April 10, 2002, to file a pro se

brief assigning errors for review by this court. We have not received a brief from Jones.

{¶3} Pursuant to our responsibilities under *Anders*, we have ourselves

reviewed the record and, having done so, conclude, as did appointed appellate counsel,

that there are no arguably meritorious issues for review and that Jones' appeal is

frivolous.

{¶4} Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court will be affirmed.

.

FAIN, J. and YOUNG, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

Carley J. Ingram Damon E. Larrier Leonard Jones Hon. John W. Kessler