

[Cite as *State v. Dixon*, 2002-Ohio-2918.]

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	C.A. CASE NO. 19048
v.	:	T.C. NO. 01 CR 216
SHAWNTAE M. DIXON	:	(Criminal Appeal from Common Pleas Court)
Defendant-Appellant	:	

.....

OPINION

Rendered on the 14th day of June, 2002.

.....

CARLEY J. INGRAM, Atty. Reg. No. 0020084, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, 301 W.
Third Street, 5th Floor, Dayton, Ohio 45422
Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

KEITH A. FRICKER, Atty. Reg. No. 0037355, 7460 Brandt Pike, Huber Heights, Ohio
45424
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

.....

WOLFF, P.J.

{¶1} After a trial by jury, Shawntae Dixon was found guilty of two counts of kidnapping, four counts of felonious assault, and six counts of complicity to commit rape. The trial court imposed concurrent sentences of eight years on the two kidnapping and four felonious assault counts and concurrent terms of ten years on the six counts of complicity to commit rape. The court ordered the concurrent eight year sentences to be

consecutive to the concurrent ten year sentences, for a total aggregate sentence of eighteen years. The trial court also determined that Dixon was a sexual predator.

{¶2} Counsel for Dixon filed a notice of appeal, and appellate counsel was appointed by the court to prosecute the appeal. On February 1, 2002, appointed appellate counsel filed an *Anders* brief pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1986), 386 U.S.738, wherein he represented that after review of the record he could find no arguably meritorious issues for appellate review.

{¶3} On February 5, 2002, this court, by decision and entry, notified Dixon that her appointed appellate counsel had filed an *Anders* brief, and we granted Dixon sixty days from February 5, 2002, to file any *pro se* assignments of error that she wished to present to this court.

{¶4} No response to this decision and entry has been received by this court.

{¶5} Pursuant to our responsibilities under *Anders*, we have independently reviewed the entire record in this case and conclude, as did appointed appellate counsel, that there are no arguably meritorious issues for appellate review and that an appeal in this case would be frivolous.

{¶6} Accordingly, the judgment appealed from will be affirmed.

.

GRADY, J. and YOUNG, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

Carley J. Ingram
Keith A. Fricker
Hon. Dennis J. Langer