IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO

GROVER TAYLOR, SR.

Plaintiff

٧.

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Defendant

Case No. 2014-00665-AD

Clerk Mark H. Reed

MEMORANDUM DECISION

- {¶1} Plaintiff Grover Taylor filed this claim on July 25, 2014, to recover damages which occurred when his 2009 Buick LaCross struck a raise in the pavement on Interstate 75 in Hamilton County, Ohio on June 5, 2014. This road is a public road maintained by the Ohio Department of Transportation. Plaintiff's vehicle sustained damages in the amount of \$684.54.
- $\{\P2\}$ In order to recover on a claim for roadway damages against the Ohio Department of Transportation, Ohio law requires that a motorist/plaintiff prove \underline{all} of the following:
- {¶3} That the plaintiff's motor vehicle received damages as a result of coming into contact with a dangerous condition on a road maintained by the defendant.
- {¶4} That the defendant knew or should have known about the dangerous road condition.
- {¶5} That the defendant, armed with this knowledge, failed to repair or remedy the dangerous condition in a reasonable time.
- {¶6} In this claim, the court finds that the plaintiff did prove that his vehicle received damages and that those damages occurred as a result of the plaintiff's vehicle coming into contact with a dangerous condition on a road maintained by the defendant.
 - {¶7} The next element that a plaintiff must prove to succeed on a claim such as

this is to show that the defendant knew or should have known about this dangerous condition.

{¶8} Based on the evidence presented, the court is unable to find that the defendant had actual knowledge of the dangerous condition. Likewise, the court is unable to find that the defendant <u>should</u> have known about this dangerous condition and thus would have had constructive notice about the highway danger. Constructive notice is defined as "(n)otice arising from the presumption of law from the existence of facts and circumstances that a party has a duty to take notice of...Notice presumed by law to have been acquired by a person and thus imputed to that person." (Black's Law Dictionary at 1090 8th Ed. 2004.)

{¶9} In order for there to be constructive notice, a plaintiff must prove that sufficient time has passed after the dangerous condition first appears, so that under the circumstances the defendant should have gained knowledge of its existence. This the plaintiff has been unable to do.

{¶10} In the investigation report filed September 29, 2014, the defendant stated that the location of the accident was between mile markers 10.2 and 10.3 on IR 75 in Hamilton County. This section of the roadway has an average daily traffic count of between 135,140 and 156,190 vehicles. Despite this volume of traffic, the department had received no notice of a raise in the pavement on this road, prior to plaintiff's incident. Thus, the court is unable to find that ODOT knew about this raise in the pavement. Within the past six months the department conducted over one thousand nine hundred and fifty (1,950) maintenance operations on IR 75 in this area in Hamilton County. If there were any defects for any appreciable length of time it is probable that it would likely have been discovered by the department's work crews. Finally, the law in Ohio is that the department is not an absolute insurer of a motorists' safety on the highway. The department is only liable for damage when the court finds that it was negligent. This the court is unable to do. The plaintiff did not offer any evidence to counter what was in the defendant's report regarding this element.

{¶11} Since the plaintiff is unable to prove that the defendant knew or should have known about this dangerous condition, the claim must fail.

IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO

GROVER TAYLOR, SR.

Plaintiff

٧.

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Defendant

Case No. 2014-00665-AD

Clerk Mark H. Reed

ENTRY OF ADMINISTRATIVE DETERMINATION

Having considered all the evidence in the claim file, and for the reasons set forth in the memorandum decision filed concurrently herewith, judgment is rendered in favor of defendant. Court costs are assessed against plaintiff.

MARK H. REED Clerk

Entry cc:

Case No. 2014-00665-AD

- 4 - MEMORANDUM DECISION

Grover Taylor, Sr. 402 Mohawk Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45214 Jerry Wray, Director Ohio Department of Transportation 1980 West Broad Street Mail Stop 1500 Columbus, Ohio 43223

Filed 2/13/15 Sent to S.C. Reporter 11/24/15