Court of Claims of Ohio

The Ohio Judicial Center 65 South Front Street, Third Floor Columbus, OH 43215 614.387.9800 or 1.800.824.8263

WWW.

RICHARD LEE MCCAULEY

Case No. 2007-01291-AD

Plaintiff

Deputy Clerk Daniel R. Borchert

٧.

MEMORANDUM DECISION

OH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Defendant

FINDINGS OF FACT

- {¶ 1} On December 27, 2006, at approximately 1:30 p.m., plaintiff, Richard Lee McCauley, was traveling on the entrance ramp from State Route 170 to State Route 11 North in Columbiana County, when his automobile struck a large pothole causing tire and wheel damage to the vehicle.
- {¶ 2} Plaintiff filed this complaint seeking to recover \$814.02, the cost of replacement parts, filing fees, and automotive repair necessitated by the property damage event. Plaintiff implied the damage to his car was proximately caused by negligence on the part of defendant, Department of Transportation ("DOT"), in maintaining the roadway. The \$25.00 filing fee was paid.
- {¶ 3} Defendant denied liability based on the contention that no DOT personnel had any knowledge of the pothole on the roadway prior to plaintiff's property damage occurrence. Defendant located the damage-causing pothole at milepost 0.55 on State Route 170 in Columbiana County. Defendant asserted plaintiff failed to produce any evidence showing how long the pothole existed prior to the incident forming the basis of this claim.

[Cite as McCauley v. Ohio Dept. of Transp., 2007-Ohio-1998.]

{¶ 4} Defendant denied receiving any calls or complaints regarding the particular pothole before plaintiff's incident. Defendant explained DOT employees conduct roadway inspections, "at least two times a month." apparently no potholes were discovered during previous roadway inspections. Defendant denied DOT employees were negligent in regard to roadway maintenance.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- {¶ 5} Defendant has the duty to maintain its highways in a reasonably safe condition for the motoring public. *Knickel v. Ohio Department of Transportation* (1976), 49 Ohio App. 2d 335. However, defendant is not an insurer of the safety of its highways. See *Kniskern v. Township of Somerford* (1996), 112 Ohio App. 3d 189; *Rhodus v. Ohio Dept. of Transp.* (1990), 67 Ohio App. 3d 723.
- {¶ 6} In order to prove a breach of the duty to maintain the highways, plaintiff must prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that defendant had actual or constructive notice of the precise condition or defect alleged to have caused the accident. *McClellan v. ODOT* (1986), 34 Ohio App. 3d 247. Defendant is only liable for roadway conditions of which it has notice but fails to reasonably correct. *Bussard v. Dept. of Transp.* (1986), 31 Ohio Misc. 2d 1.
- Plaintiff has not produced sufficient evidence to indicate the length of time the particular pothole was present on the roadway prior to the incident forming the basis of this claim. Plaintiff has not shown defendant had actual notice of the pothole for a sufficient length of time to invoke liability. Additionally, the trier of fact is precluded from making an inference of defendant's constructive notice, unless evidence is presented in respect to the time the pothole appeared on the roadway. *Spires v. Ohio Highway Department* (1988), 61 Ohio Misc. 2d 262. There is no indication defendant had constructive notice of the pothole. Plaintiff has not produced any evidence to infer defendant, in a general sense,

[Cite as McCauley v. Ohio Dept. of Transp., 2007-Ohio-1998.]

{¶ 8} maintains its highways negligently or that defendant's acts caused the defective condition. *Herlihy v. Ohio Department of Transportation* (1999), 99-07011-AD. Size of the defect (pothole) is insufficient to show notice or duration of existence. *O'Neil v. Department of Transportation* (1988), 61 Ohio Misc. 2d 287. Therefore, defendant is not liable for any damage plaintiff may have suffered from the pothole.

Court of Claims of Ohio

The Ohio Judicial Center 65 South Front Street, Third Floor Columbus, OH 43215 614.387.9800 or 1.800.824.8263

WWW.

RICHARD LEE MCCAULEY

Case No. 2007-01291-AD

Plaintiff

Deputy Clerk Daniel R. Borchert

٧.

ENTRY OF ADMINISTRATIVE DETERMINATION

OH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Defendant

Having considered all the evidence in the claim file and, for the reasons set forth in the memorandum decision filed concurrently herewith, judgment is rendered in favor of defendant. Court costs are assessed against plaintiff. The clerk shall serve upon all parties notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal.

DANIEL R. BORCHERT

Deputy Clerk

Entry cc:

Richard Lee McCauley 775 Center Road E. Liverpool, Ohio 43920

RDK/laa 3/29 Filed 4/5/07 Sent to S.C. reporter 4/25/07 James Beasley, Director Department of Transportation 1980 West Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43223