

Court of Claims of Ohio

The Ohio Judicial Center
65 South Front Street, Third Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
614.387.9800 or 1.800.824.8263
www.cco.state.oh.us

MELVIN STEWART

Plaintiff

v.

OHIO DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION

Defendant

Case No. 2007-01088-AD

Clerk Miles C. Durfey

MEMORANDUM DECISION

FINDINGS OF FACT

{¶ 1} 1) Plaintiff, Melvin Stewart, related that he was traveling south on Interstate 270, “between State Route 161 (and) Morse Rd,” in Franklin County, when his automobile struck “a rather large pothole,” causing damage to the rim of one of his vehicle’s tires. Plaintiff recalled this property damage incident occurred at approximately 6:30 p.m. on December 25, 2006.

{¶ 2} 2) Consequently, plaintiff filed this complaint seeking to recover \$472.89 for a replacement rim. Plaintiff has asserted that he incurred these damages as a proximate cause of negligence on the part of defendant, Department of Transportation (DOT), in maintaining the roadway in a construction zone on Interstate 270 in Franklin County. Plaintiff submitted the filing fee with the complaint.

{¶ 3} 3) Defendant observed that the area where plaintiff’s damage occurred was probably located within a construction area under the control of DOT contractor, National Engineering & Contracting Company (National). Additionally, defendant denied liability in this matter based on the allegation that neither DOT nor National had any knowledge of the roadway defect plaintiff’s vehicle struck. Defendant contended that no calls or complaints were received regarding the damage-causing pothole prior to plaintiff’s incident. Defendant located the particular pothole between mileposts 30.52 to 32.27 on Interstate 270 South. According to DOT’s information the construction zone maintained by National covered Interstate 270 between mileposts 29.50 and 31.20.

{¶ 4} 4) Defendant asserted that National, by contractual agreement, was responsible for maintaining the roadway within the construction area. Therefore, DOT argued that National is the proper party defendant in this action. Defendant implied that all duties, such as the duty to inspect, the duty to warn, the duty to maintain, and the duty to repair defects were delegated when an independent contractor takes control over a particular section of roadway. All construction was to be performed to DOT requirements and specifications.

{¶ 5} 5) Defendant explained a representative from National was contacted by DOT around 3:30 p.m. on December 25, 2006, and informed there was standing water on Interstate 270 within the construction area due to heavy rainfall during the day. Upon receiving this information, National dispatched an employee to the area to deal with the water on the roadway. After arriving at the scene, the National employee discovered

Case No. 2007-01088-AD	- 3 -	MEMORANDUM DECISION
------------------------	-------	---------------------

potholes in the roadway. “High Water” warning signs were positioned by the National employee to notify motorists of the standing water and then the National employee “began to gather assistance, materials, and equipment necessary to fix the pothole.” Local law enforcement arrived at the roadway area between 6:00 p.m. and 6:30 p.m. to close traveling lanes in order to facilitate the efforts of National personnel in conducting pothole patching operations.

{¶ 6} 6) Defendant submitted notes from DOT Project Inspector, Christine Dicke, regarding the situation on Interstate 270 on December 25, 2006. Christine Dicke recorded that she received a call from the DOT radio room at 5:38 p.m. about a pothole on “270 SB under 161.” Dicke wrote that she called the National employee who was at the scene for the high water problem and notified him of the pothole problem. According to Project Inspector Dicke, the National employee called her back at 7:16 p.m. on December 25, 2006, and told her the pothole had been repaired. Defendant contended, based on the evidence presented, that plaintiff has failed to prove either DOT or National acted negligently in responding to the roadway problems on Interstate 270 on December 25, 2006. Defendant asserted that all potholes within the construction zone were promptly patched after discovery.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

{¶ 7} The duty of DOT to maintain the roadway in a safe, drivable condition is not delegable to an independent contractor involved in roadway construction. DOT may bear liability for the negligent acts of an independent contractor charged with roadway construction. *Cowell v. Ohio Department of Transportation*, 2003-09343-AD, jud, 2004-Ohio-151.

{¶ 8} Defendant has the duty to maintain its highways in a reasonably safe condition for the motoring public. *Knickel v. Ohio Department of Transportation* (1976), 49 Ohio App. 2d 335. However, defendant is not an insurer of the safety of its highways. See *Kniskern v. Township of Somerford* (1996), 112 Ohio App. 3d 189; *Rhodus v. Ohio Dept. of*

Case No. 2007-01088-AD	- 4 -	MEMORANDUM DECISION
------------------------	-------	---------------------

Transp. (1990), 67 Ohio App. 3d 723.

{¶ 9} In order to prove a breach of the duty to maintain the highways, plaintiff must prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that defendant had actual or constructive notice of the precise condition or defect alleged to have caused the accident. *McClellan v. ODOT* (1986), 34 Ohio App. 3d 247. Defendant is only liable for roadway conditions of which it has notice but fails to reasonably correct. *Bussard v. Dept. of Transp.* (1986), 31 Ohio Misc. 2d 1.

{¶ 10} Plaintiff has not produced sufficient evidence to prove the length of time that the particular pothole was present on the roadway prior to the incident forming the basis of this claim. Plaintiff has not shown that defendant had actual notice of the pothole for a sufficient length of time to invoke liability. Additionally, the trier of fact is precluded from making an inference of defendant's constructive notice, unless evidence is presented in respect to the time the pothole appeared on the roadway. *Spires v. Ohio Highway Department* (1988), 61 Ohio Misc. 2d 262. There is no indication that defendant had constructive notice of the pothole. Plaintiff has not produced any evidence to infer that defendant, in a general sense, maintains its highways negligently or that defendant's acts caused the defective condition. *Herlihy v. Ohio Department of Transportation* (1999), 99-07011-AD. Size of the defect (pothole) is insufficient to show notice or duration of existence. *O'Neil v. Department of Transportation* (1988), 61 Ohio Misc. 2d 287.

{¶ 11} Plaintiff has not shown, by a preponderance of the evidence, that defendant failed to discharge a duty owed to plaintiff, or that plaintiff's damage was proximately caused by defendant's negligence. Plaintiff failed to show that the damage-causing condition was connected to any conduct under the control of defendant, that defendant was negligent in maintaining the construction area, or that there was any negligence on the part of defendant or its agents. *Taylor v. Transportation Dept.* (1998), 97-10898-AD; *Weininger v. Department of Transportation* (1999), 99-10909-AD; *Witherell v. Ohio Dept. of*

Case No. 2007-01088-AD	- 5 -	MEMORANDUM DECISION
------------------------	-------	---------------------

Transportation (2000), 2000-04758-AD. Consequently, plaintiff's claim is denied.

Court of Claims of Ohio

The Ohio Judicial Center
65 South Front Street, Third Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
614.387.9800 or 1.800.824.8263
www.cco.state.oh.us

MELVIN STEWART

Case No. 2007-01088-AD

Plaintiff

Clerk Miles C. Durfey

v.

OHIO DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION

ENTRY OF ADMINISTRATIVE
DETERMINATION

Defendant

Having considered all the evidence in the claim file and, for the reasons set forth in the memorandum decision filed concurrently herewith, judgment is rendered in favor of defendant. Court costs are assessed against plaintiff. The clerk shall serve upon all parties notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal.

MILES C. DURFEY
Clerk

Entry cc:

Melvin Stewart
7908 Slate Ridge Blvd.
Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068

James Beasley, Director
Department of Transportation
1980 West Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43223

RDK/laa
3/21
Filed 4/3/07
Sent to S.C. reporter 4/25/07