

Court of Claims of Ohio

The Ohio Judicial Center
65 South Front Street, Third Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
614.387.9800 or 1.800.824.8263

www.cco.state.oh.us

BRUCE BREGENZER

Case No. 2006-07147-AD

Plaintiff

Clerk Miles C. Durfey

v.

MEMORANDUM DECISION

DEPARTMENT OF
TRANSPORTATION

Defendant

FINDINGS OF FACT

{¶1} 1) On October 29, 2006, at approximately 10:00 a.m., plaintiff, Bruce D. Bregenzer, was traveling north on Interstate 77 in Broadview Heights, Ohio, when his automobile struck a pothole in the roadway causing tire damage to the vehicle.

{¶2} 2) Plaintiff filed this complaint seeking to recover \$630.00, the cost of replacement parts and automotive repair necessitated by the property damage event. Plaintiff implied that the damage to his car was proximately caused by negligence on the part of defendant, Department of Transportation (“DOT”), in maintaining the roadway. The \$25.00 filing fee was paid.

{¶3} 3) Defendant denied liability based on the contention that no DOT personnel had any knowledge of the pothole on the roadway prior to plaintiff’s property damage occurrence. Defendant located the damage-causing pothole between mileposts 149.70 and 152.30 on Interstate 77 in Cuyahoga County. Defendant asserted that plaintiff failed to produce any evidence showing how long the pothole existed prior to the incident forming the basis of this claim.

{¶4} 4) Defendant denied receiving any calls or complaints regarding the particular pothole before plaintiff’s incident. Defendant explained that DOT employees

Case No. 2006-07147-AD	- 2 -	MEMORANDUM DECISION
------------------------	-------	---------------------

conduct roadway inspections, “at least two times a month.” Apparently no potholes were discovered during previous roadway inspections from July through October 28, 2006. Defendant suggested that the pothole which plaintiff’s car struck probably existed “for only a relatively short amount of time before plaintiff’s incident.” Defendant denied any DOT employees were negligent in regard to roadway maintenance.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

{¶15} Defendant has the duty to maintain its highways in a reasonably safe condition for the motoring public. *Knickel v. Ohio Department of Transportation* (1976), 49 Ohio App. 2d 335. However, defendant is not an insurer of the safety of its highways. See *Kniskern v. Township of Somerford* (1996), 112 Ohio App. 3d 189; *Rhodus v. Ohio Dept. of Transp.* (1990), 67 Ohio App. 3d 723.

{¶16} In order to prove a breach of the duty to maintain the highways, plaintiff must prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that defendant had actual or constructive notice of the precise condition or defect alleged to have caused the accident. *McClellan v. ODOT* (1986), 34 Ohio App. 3d 247. Defendant is only liable for roadway conditions of which it has notice, but fails to reasonably correct. *Bussard v. Dept. of Transp.* (1986), 31 Ohio Misc. 2d 1.

{¶17} Plaintiff has not produced sufficient evidence to indicate the length of time the particular pothole was present on the roadway prior to the incident forming the basis of this claim. Plaintiff has not shown that defendant had actual notice of the pothole for a sufficient length of time to invoke liability. Additionally, the trier of fact is precluded from making an inference of defendant’s constructive notice, unless evidence is presented in respect to the time the pothole appeared on the roadway. *Spires v. Ohio Highway Department* (1988), 61 Ohio Misc. 2d 262. There is no indication defendant had constructive notice of the pothole. Plaintiff has not produced any evidence to infer defendant, in a general sense, maintains its highways negligently or that defendant’s acts caused the defective condition. *Herlihy v. Ohio Department of Transportation* (1999), 99-

Case No. 2006-07147-AD	- 3 -	MEMORANDUM DECISION
------------------------	-------	---------------------

07011-AD. Size of the defect (pothole) is insufficient to show notice or duration of existence. *O'Neil v. Department of Transportation* (1988), 61 Ohio Misc. 2d 287. Therefore, defendant is not liable for any damage plaintiff may have suffered from the pothole.

Court of Claims of Ohio

The Ohio Judicial Center
65 South Front Street, Third Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
614.387.9800 or 1.800.824.8263
www.cco.state.oh.us

BRUCE BREGENZER

Plaintiff

v.

DEPARTMENT OF
TRANSPORTATION

Defendant

Case No. 2006-07147-AD

Clerk Miles C. Durfey

ENTRY OF ADMINISTRATIVE
DETERMINATION

Having considered all the evidence in the claim file and, for the reasons set forth in the memorandum decision filed concurrently herewith, judgment is rendered in favor of defendant. Court costs are assessed against plaintiff. The clerk shall serve upon all parties notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal.

MILES C. DURFEY
Clerk

Entry cc:

Bruce Bregenzer
2001 Parker Road
Hinckley, Ohio 44233

James Beasley, Director
Department of Transportation
1980 West Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43223

RDK/laa
2/8
Filed 2/22/07
Sent to S.C. reporter 4/5/07