## [Cite as Glover v. Ohio Dept. of Transp., 2005-Ohio-4221.]

## IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO

TERRANCE GLOVER :

Plaintiff :

v. : CASE NO. 2005-04811-AD

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF : ENTRY OF DISMISSAL

TRANSPORTATION

:

Defendant

: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

- {¶1}On March 31, 2005, plaintiff filed a complaint against defendant, Department of Transportation. Plaintiff alleges on February 28, 2005, at approximately 8:30 p.m., he was traveling eastbound on State Route 161 approximately 1/4 to 1/8 mile from the Hamilton Road exit when he struck a large pothole which caused damaged to his vehicle. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for his automobile repair costs in the amount of \$314.43 from the defendant. Plaintiff submitted the filing fee with the complaint.
- $\{\P\ 2\}$  On June 3,2005, defendant filed a motion to dismiss. In support of the motion to dismiss, defendant stated in pertinent part:
- $\{\P\ 3\}$  "Defendant has performed an investigation of this site which is SR 161 near Hamilton Road which is milepost 18.63 and that area falls under the maintenance jurisdiction of the City of Columbus. (See Attached Map and Exhibit A.) The areas highlighted are maintained by the City of Columbus. As such, this section of roadway is not within the maintenance jurisdiction of the defendant."
- $\{\P \ 4\}$  Plaintiff has not responded to defendant's motion to dismiss. The site of plaintiff's incident was within the city limits of Columbus.

- $\{\P 5\}$  R.C. 5501.31 in pertinent part states:
- $\{\P 6\}$  "Except in the case of maintaining, repairing, erecting traffic signs on, or pavement marking of state highways within villages, which is mandatory as required by section 5521.01 of the Revised Code, and except as provided in section 5501.49 of the Revised Code, no duty of constructing, reconstructing, widening, resurfacing, maintaining, or repairing state highways within municipal corporations, or the bridges and culverts thereon, shall attach to or rest upon the director . ."

-2-

- $\{\P 7\}$  The site of the damage-causing incident was not the maintenance responsibility of defendant. Consequently, plaintiff's case is dismissed.
- $\{\P 8\}$  Having considered all the evidence in the claim file and, for the reasons set forth above, defendant's motion to dismiss is GRANTED. Plaintiff's case is DISMISSED. The court shall absorb the court costs of this case. The clerk shall serve upon all parties notice of this entry of dismissal and its date of entry upon the journal.

DANIEL R. BORCHERT Deputy Clerk

Plaintiff, Pro se

Entry cc:

Terrance Glover 6603 Albany Road New Albany, Ohio 43054

For Defendant

Thomas P. Pannett, P.E. Assistant Legal Counsel Department of Transportation 1980 West Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43223

DRB/laa 7/19 Filed 7/28/05 Sent to S.C. reporter 8/11/05