[Cite as Haas v. Ohio Dept. of Transp., Dist. 10, 2004-Ohio-4827.]

IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO

HEATHER J. HAAS :

Plaintiff :

v. : CASE NO. 2004-05775-AD

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF : MEMORANDUM DECISION

TRANSPORTATION, DISTRICT 10

:

Defendant

FINDINGS OF FACT

- {¶1} "1) On February 12, 2004, at about 4:45 p.m., plaintiff, Heather J. Haas, was traveling south on Interstate 77 near milepost 15.20 in Washington County, when her automobile struck rock laying on the traveled portion of the roadway. The rock debris caused substantial damage to plaintiff's vehicle.
- {¶2} "2) Consequently, plaintiff filed this complaint seeking to recover \$1,366.04, her cost of automotive repair which she contends was incurred as a result of negligence on the part of defendant, Department of Transportation, in maintaining the roadway and reimbursement of the filing fee she submitted with the complaint.
- {¶3} "3) Defendant has denied liability for plaintiff's damage. Defendant denied having any knowledge of the rock debris condition prior to plaintiff's incident. Plaintiff has failed to produce any evidence establishing the length of time the debris condition was on the roadway prior to her property damage occurrence. Defendant's employee was present in the area of plaintiff's incident at approximately 3:30 p.m., on February 12, 2004. No debris were observed on the roadway at that time.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- {¶4} Defendant has the duty to maintain its highway in a reasonably safe condition for the motoring public. *Knickel v. Ohio Department of Transportation* (1976), 49 Ohio App. 2d 335. However, defendant is not an insurer of the safety of its highways. See *Kniskern v. Township of Somerford* (1996), 112 Ohio App. 3d 189; *Rhodus v. Ohio Dept. of Transp.* (1990), 67 Ohio App. 3d 723.
- {¶5} In order to recover in any suit involving injury proximately caused by roadway conditions including debris, plaintiff must prove either: 1) defendant had actual or constructive notice of the debris and failed to respond in a reasonable time or responded in a negligent manner, or 2) that defendant, in a general sense, maintains its highways negligently. *Denis v. Department of Transportation* (1976), 75-0287-AD.
- {¶6} Defendant is only liable for roadway conditions of which it has notice, but fails to reasonably correct. *Bussard v. Dept. of Transp.* (1986), 31 Ohio Misc. 2d 1.
- {¶7} Plaintiff has not produced any evidence to indicate the length of time the debris condition was present on the roadway prior to the incident forming the basis of this claim. No evidence has been submitted to show defendant had actual notice of the debris. Additionally, the trier of fact is precluded from making an inference of defendant's constructive notice, unless evidence is presented in respect to the time the debris appeared on the roadway. *Spires v. Highway Department* (1988), 61 Ohio Misc. 2d 262. There is no indication defendant had constructive notice of the debris.
- {¶8} Finally, plaintiff, has not produced any evidence to infer defendant, in a general sense, maintains its highways negligently or that defendant 's acts caused the defective condition. *Herlihy v. Ohio Department of Transportation* (1999), 99-07011-AD. Therefore, defendant is not liable for any damage plaintiff may have suffered from the roadway debris.

HEATHER J. HAAS :

Plaintiff :

v. : CASE NO. 2004-05775-AD

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF : ENTRY OF ADMINISTRATIVE

TRANSPORTATION, DISTRICT 10 <u>DETERMINATION</u>

:

Defendant

Having considered all the evidence in the claim file and, for the reasons set forth in the memorandum decision filed concurrently herewith, judgment is rendered in favor of defendant. Court costs are assessed against plaintiff. The clerk shall serve upon all parties notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal.

> DANIEL R. BORCHERT Deputy Clerk

Entry cc:

Heather J. Haas 37817 Delancey School House Road Dexter City, Ohio 45727

Plaintiff, Pro se

Gordon Proctor, Director Department of Transportation 1980 West Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43223 For Defendant

DRB/RDK/laa

7/15 Filed 8/19/04 Sent to S.C. reporter 9/13/04