IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO

MELISSA BRADSHAW :

Plaintiff :

v. : CASE NO. 2003-11216-AD

OHIO DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION : <u>MEMORANDUM DECISION</u>

Defendant :

FINDINGS OF FACT

- {¶1} 1) On September 15, 2003, plaintiff, Melissa Bradshaw, was traveling eastbound on Interstate 70 between exits 112A and 112B in Fairfield County, when her truck ran over "shiny blue objects" laying on the traveled portion of the roadway. When plaintiff drove over the "shiny blue objects" nails became imbedded in all four tires of her vehicle.
- {¶2} 2) Plaintiff filed this complaint seeking to recover \$468.11, the entire cost for replacement tires. Plaintiff asserted she sustained these damages as a result of negligence on the part of defendant, Department of Transportation, in maintaining the roadway. Plaintiff has also filed a claim for filing fee reimbursement.
- {¶3} 3) Defendant has denied liability based on the fact it had no knowledge the debris condition was on the roadway.
- {¶4} 4) Plaintiff has not presented any evidence to indicate the length of time the debris condition was on the roadway prior to her property-damage occurrence.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

{¶5} 1) Defendant has the duty to keep the roads in a safe, drivable condition.

Amica Mutual v. Dept. of Transportation (1982), 81-02289-AD.

- {¶6} 2) Defendant must exercise due diligence in the maintenance and repair of highways. *Hennessey v. State of Ohio Highway Department* (1985), 85-02071-AD.
- {¶7} 3) In order to recover on a claim of this type, plaintiff must prove either: 1) defendant had actual or constructive notice of the defect (debris) and failed to respond in a reasonable time or responded in a negligent manner, or 2) that defendant, in a general sense, maintains its highways negligently. *Denis v. Department of Transportation* (1976), 75-0287-AD.
- $\{\P 8\}$ 4) There is no evidence defendant had actual notice of the debris condition.
- {¶9} 5) The trier of fact is precluded from making an inference of defendant's constructive notice, unless evidence is presented in respect to the time the defective condition (debris) developed. *Spires v. Highway Department* (1988), 61 Ohio Misc. 2d 262.
- {¶10} 6) In order for there to be constructive notice, plaintiff must show sufficient time has elapsed after the dangerous condition (debris) appears, so that under the circumstances, defendant should have acquired knowledge of its existence. *Guiher v. Jackson* (1978), 78-0126-AD.
- $\{\P 11\}$ 7) No evidence has shown defendant had constructive notice of the damage-causing debris condition.
- $\{\P 12\}$ 8) Furthermore, plaintiff has failed to show defendant negligently maintained the roadway.
- {¶13} Having considered all the evidence in the claim file and, for the reasons set forth in the memorandum decision filed concurrently herewith, judgment is rendered in favor of defendant. Court costs are assessed against plaintiff. The clerk shall serve upon all parties notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal.

DANIEL R. BORCHERT Deputy Clerk

Entry cc:

Melissa Bradshaw 10307 Stoudertown Road Pickerington, Ohio 43147

Gordon Proctor, Director Department of Transportation 1980 West Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43223

RDK/laa 2/24 Filed 3/3/04 Sent to S.C. reporter 3/19/04 Plaintiff, Pro se

For Defendant