## [Cite as McKay & Kraft Tree Service v. Dept. of Transp., 2002-Ohio-6420.]

## IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO

MCKAY & KRAFT TREE SERVICE,

et al.

13881 Foundryhill Rd.-P.O. 413 : Case No. 2002-08025-AD

Hanoverton, Ohio 44423

:

Plaintiff ORDER DISMISSING

PLAINTIFF'S CASE

v.

:

:

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF

TRANSPORTATION

Defendant :

- $\{\P 1\}$  THE COURT FINDS THAT:
- $\{\P2\}$  On September 3, 2002, plaintiff, McKay & Kraft Tree Service, filed a complaint against defendant, Department of Transportation;
- $\{\P 3\}$  On September 19, 2002, this court issued an order (Jr. Vol. 719, Pgs. 137-138) requiring plaintiff to retain an attorney to represent the corporate entity or face dismissal of this case;
- $\{\P4\}$  On October 15, 2002, plaintiff filed an amended complaint seeking to name Richard E. McKay as plaintiff and not the corporate entity. However, a review of the attachments to the amended complaint reveals all the expenses were incurred by the corporation.
- $\{\P 5\}$  A check of the docket reveals plaintiff has not retained an attorney to represent the corporate entity.

[Cite as McKay & Kraft Tree Service v. Dept. of Transp., 2002-Ohio-6420.]

- $\{\P6\}$  IT IS ORDERED THAT:
- $\{\P7\}$  Plaintiff's amended complaint is considered a motion to amend his complaint and is DENIED;
  - $\{\P 8\}$  Plaintiff's case is dismissed without prejudice;
  - $\{\P9\}$  The court shall absorb the court costs of this case.

DANIEL R. BORCHERT Deputy Clerk

DRB/laa 11/4 Filed 11/13/02 Jr. Vol. 725, Pgs. 22-23 Sent to S.C. reporter 11/25/02