## IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO

JOSHUA D. GILLESPIE, #A411-139 :

P.O. Box 1812

Marion, Ohio 43301 : Case No. 2002-06006-AD

Plaintiff : MEMORANDUM DECISION

v. :

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION AND CORRECTIONS

:

Defendant

For Defendant: Gregory C. Trout, Chief Counsel

Department of Rehabilitation and

Correction

1050 Freeway North Columbus, Ohio 43229

: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- {¶1} 1) On June 17, 2002, plaintiff, Joshua D. Gillespie, filed a complaint against defendant, Department of Rehabilitation and Correction, alleging several articles of his personal property were lost while in the custody of defendant's staff. Plaintiff valued his lost property at \$218.86. Plaintiff requested the court order in-kind restitution as opposed to awarding monetary damages.
- $\{\P2\}$  2) On August 20, 2002, defendant filed an investigation report admitting liability for the loss of certain property. Defendant asserted plaintiff's property loss should be valued at \$173.08.
- $\{\P3\}$  3) Plaintiff filed a response agreeing to accept damages of \$173.08. Again plaintiff requested an order for in-kind

restitution.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- $\{\P4\}$  1) This court is limited to awarding monetary damages at the administrative determination level.
- $\{\P5\}$  2) I find, by a preponderance of evidence, negligence by defendant has been shown. Baisden v. Southern Ohio Correctional Facility (1977), 76-0617-AD; Stewart v. Ohio National Guard (1979), 78-0342-AD.
- $\{\P 6\}$  3) Plaintiff has suffered damages in the amount of \$173.08.
- $\{\P7\}$  Having considered all the evidence in the claim file and adopting the memorandum decision concurrently herewith;
  - $\{\P 8\}$  IT IS ORDERED THAT:
- $\{\P9\}$  1) Plaintiff's request for in-kind restitution is DENIED;
- $\{\P 10\}$  2) Plaintiff's claim is GRANTED and judgment is rendered in favor of the plaintiff;
- $\{\P11\}$  3) Defendant (Department of Rehabilitation and Correction) pay plaintiff (Joshua D. Gillespie) \$173.08 and such interest as is allowed by law;
  - $\{\P12\}$  4) Court costs are assessed against defendant.

DANIEL R. BORCHERT
Deputy Clerk

RDK/laa 9/18 Filed 10/2/02 Jr. Vol. 720, Pg. 149 Sent to S.C. reporter 10/7/02