## IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO

LEONARDO FRAZIER, #376-710

P.O. Box 788

Mansfield, Ohio 44901 : Case No. 2002-02205-AD

Plaintiff : MEMORANDUM DECISION

v. :

MANSFIELD CORRECTIONAL

INSTITUTION

:

Defendant

For Defendant: Gregory C. Trout, Chief Counsel

Department of Rehabilitation and

Correction

1050 Freeway North Columbus, Ohio 43229

: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

- $\{\P 1\}$  THE COURT FINDS THAT:
- {¶2} 1) On February 7, 2002, plaintiff, Leonardo Frazier, filed a complaint against defendant, Mansfield Correctional Institution, alleging his personal property was lost while under defendant's control. Plaintiff seeks damages in the amount of \$52.01 for property loss, \$50.00 for phone expenses, and \$25.00 for filing fees. Plaintiff submitted the filing fee with his complaint;
- $\{\P3\}$  2) On April 25, 2002, defendant filed an investigation report admitting liability and acknowledging plaintiff suffered damages in the amount of \$52.01. Defendant denied plaintiff is entitled to damages for telephone costs associated with prosecuting this claim;

- $\{\P4\}$  3) Plaintiff filed a response. Plaintiff has not offered any evidence to show he is entitled to damages for phone calls.
  - $\{\P5\}$  THE COURT CONCLUDES THAT:
- $\{\P6\}$  1) I find, by a preponderance of the evidence, negligence by defendant has been shown. Baisden v. Southern Ohio Correctional Facility (1977), 76-0617-AD; Stewart v. Ohio National Guard (1979), 78-0342-AD;
- $\{\P7\}$  2) Plaintiff has suffered damages in the amount of \$52.01, plus the \$25.00 filing fee, which may be reimbursed as compensable damages pursuant to the holding in *Bailey v. Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction* (1990), 62 Ohio Misc. 2d 19;
- $\{\P 8\}$  3) Phone expenses are noncompensable in a claim of this type.
- $\{\P9\}$  Having considered all the evidence in the claim file and adopting the memorandum decision concurrently herewith;
  - $\{\P10\}$  IT IS ORDERED THAT:
- $\{\P 11\}$  1) Plaintiff's claim is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part;
- $\{\P 12\}$  2) Defendant (Mansfield Correctional Institution) pay plaintiff (Leonardo Frazier) \$77.01 and such interest as is allowed by law;
  - $\{\P 13\}$  3) Court costs are assessed against defendant.

DANIEL R. BORCHERT Deputy Clerk