

[Cite as *Muhammad v. Ohio Dept. of Rehab. & Corr.*, 2002-Ohio-4257.]

IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO

AMIR MUHAMMAD :  
Plaintiff : CASE NO. 2001-01302  
v. : JUDGMENT ENTRY  
DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION :  
AND CORRECTION :  
Defendant :  
: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

{¶1} This case was tried to a magistrate of the court. On June 28, 2002, the magistrate issued a decision recommending judgment for defendant.

{¶2} Civ.R. 53 states: "Within 14 days of the filing of a magistrate's decision, a party may file written objections to the magistrate's decision." Plaintiff has not filed an objection.

{¶3} Upon review of the record and the magistrate's decision, the court determines that there is no error of law or other defect on the face of the magistrate's decision. Therefore, the court adopts the magistrate's decision and recommendation as its own. Judgment is rendered in favor of defendant. Court costs are assessed against plaintiff. The clerk shall serve upon all parties notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal.

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE

Entry cc:

Case No. 2001-01302

-2-

JUDGMENT ENTRY

Amir MuHammad  
19201 Euclid Avenue, #C505  
Euclid, Ohio 44117

Pro se

Lisa M. Donato  
65 East State St., 16th Fl.  
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Assistant Attorney General

LP/cmd  
Filed 8-5-2002  
Jr. Vol. 714, Pgs. 60-61  
To S.C. reporter 8-21-2002