



Butler County Court of Common Pleas, and upon the briefs of counsel and appellant's pro se brief.

{¶ 2} Counsel for appellant, J.I., has filed a brief with this court pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), which (1) indicates that a careful review of the record from the proceedings below fails to disclose any errors by the trial court prejudicial to the rights of appellant upon which an assignment of error may be predicated; (2) lists one potential error "that might arguably support the appeal," *Anders* at 744, 87 S.Ct. at 1400; (3) requests that this court review the record independently to determine whether the proceedings are free from prejudicial error and without infringement of appellant's constitutional rights; (4) requests permission to withdraw as counsel for appellant on the basis that the appeal is wholly frivolous; and (5) certifies that a copy of both the brief and motion to withdraw have been served upon appellant.

{¶ 3} Appellant has filed a pro se brief raising arguments related to the weight of the evidence and witness credibility and the state of Ohio has filed a brief in response. We have accordingly examined the record, the potential assignments of error presented in counsel's brief and the arguments in appellant's pro se brief, and find no error prejudicial to appellant's rights in the proceedings in the trial court. Therefore, the motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous.

S. POWELL, P.J., PIPER and M. POWELL, JJ., concur.