IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO

CLERMONT COUNTY

| STATE OF OHIO, | : | |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Plaintiff-Appellee, | : | CASE NO. CA2011-07-055 |
| - VS - | : | <u>DECISION</u> 3/19/2012 |
| NATHAN JARRELS, JR., | : | |
| Defendant-Appellant. | : | |

CRIMINAL APPEAL FROM CLERMONT COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS Case No. 2009 CR 00027

David W. White, Clermont County Prosecuting Attorney, David H. Hoffmann, 123 North Third Street, Batavia, Ohio 45103, for plaintiff-appellee

The Farrish Law Firm, Michaela M. Stagnaro, 810 Sycamore Street, 6th Floor, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202, for defendant-appellant

Per Curiam.

{¶1} This cause came on to be considered upon a notice of appeal, the transcript of the docket and journal entries, the transcript of proceedings and original papers from the Clermont County Court of Common Pleas, and upon a brief filed by appellant's counsel, oral argument having been waived. Also, before the court is a *pro se* brief filed by appellant on December 30, 2011, an answer brief filed by counsel for the state of Ohio on February 8,

2012 and a *pro* se reply brief filed by appellant on February 24, 2012.

{¶2} Counsel for defendant-appellant, Nathan Jarrels, Jr., has filed a brief with this court pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), which (1) indicates that a careful review of the record from the proceedings below fails to disclose any errors by the trial court prejudicial to the rights of appellant upon which an assignment of error may be predicated; (2) lists one potential error "that might arguably support the appeal," *Anders* at 744, 87 S.Ct. at 1400; (3) requests that this court review the record independently to determine whether the proceedings are free from prejudicial error and without infringement of appellant's constitutional rights; (4) requests permission to withdraw as counsel for appellant on the basis that the appeal is wholly frivolous; and (5) certifies that a copy of both the brief and motion to withdraw have been served upon appellant.

{¶3} We have reviewed the record and the briefs, and find no error prejudicial to appellant's rights in the proceedings in the trial court. The motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous.

POWELL, P.J., RINGLAND and PIPER, JJ., concur.