## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

#### TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO

### BROWN COUNTY

STATE OF OHIO, :

Plaintiff-Appellee, : CASE NO. CA2007-04-007

: <u>DECISION</u>

- vs - 10/9/2007

:

MICHAEL T. TAYLOR, :

Defendant-Appellant. :

# CRIMINAL APPEAL FROM BROWN COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS Case No. 20062011

Thomas F. Grennan, Brown County Prosecuting Attorney, Mary McMullen, 200 East Cherry Street, Georgetown, Ohio 45121, for plaintiff-appellee

Katherine M. Kelly, Brown County Public Defender, P.O. Box 54224, Cincinnati, Ohio 45254, for defendant-appellant

## Per Curiam.

- **{¶1}** This cause came on to be considered upon a notice of appeal, the transcript of the docket and journal entries, the transcript of proceedings and original papers from the Brown County Court of Common Pleas, and upon a brief filed by appellant's counsel, oral argument having been waived.
- **{¶2}** Counsel for defendant-appellant, Michael T. Taylor, has filed a brief with this court pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967), 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, which (1)

errors by the trial court prejudicial to the rights of appellant upon which an assignment of error may be predicated; (2) lists one potential error "that might arguably support the appeal," *Anders* at 744, 87 S.Ct. at 1400; (3) requests that this court review the record independently to determine whether the proceedings are free from prejudicial error and without infringement of appellant's constitutional rights; (4) requests permission to withdraw as counsel for appellant on the basis that the appeal is wholly frivolous; and (5) certifies that a copy of both the brief and motion to withdraw have been served upon appellant.

**{¶3}** Having allowed appellant sufficient time to respond, and no response having been received, we have accordingly examined the record and find no error prejudicial to appellant's rights in the proceedings in the trial court. The motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous.

BRESSLER, P.J., WALSH and POWELL, JJ., concur.

[Cite as State v. Taylor, 2007-Ohio-5389.]