IN THE COURT OF APPEALS TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO CLERMONT COUNTY

STATE OF OHIO,

Plaintiff-Appellee, : CASE NO. CA2007-01-001

: <u>DECISION</u>

6/18/2007

:

FRANK SCHREIBEIS, :

Defendant-Appellant. :

CRIMINAL APPEAL FROM CLERMONT COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
Case No. 03-CR-00723

Donald W. White, Clermont County Prosecuting Attorney, David Henry Hoffmann, 123 North Third Street, Batavia, OH 45103, for plaintiff-appellee, state of Ohio

Denise S. Barone, 385 North Street, Batavia, OH 45103-3005, for defendant-appellant, Frank Schreibeis

Per Curiam.

- vs -

- **{¶1}** This cause came on to be considered upon a notice of appeal, the transcript of the docket and journal entries, the transcript of proceedings and original papers from the Clermont County Court of Common Pleas, and upon the brief filed by appellant's counsel, oral argument having been waived.
- **{¶2}** Counsel for defendant-appellant, Frank Schreibeis, filed a brief with this court pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967), 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, which (1)

Clermont CA2007-01-001

indicates that a careful review of the record from the proceedings below fails to disclose any errors by the trial court prejudicial to the rights of appellant upon which an assignment of error may be predicated; (2) lists five potential errors "that might arguably support the appeal," *Anders*, at 744, 87 S.Ct. at 1400; (3) requests that this court review the record independently to determine whether the proceedings are free from prejudicial error and without infringement of appellant's constitutional rights; (4) requests permission to withdraw as counsel for appellant on the basis that the appeal is wholly frivolous; and (5) certifies that a copy of both the brief and motion to withdraw have been served upon appellant.

{¶3} Having allowed appellant sufficient time to respond, and no response having been received, we have accordingly examined the record and find no error prejudicial to appellant's rights in the proceedings in the trial court. The motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous.

YOUNG, P.J., BRESSLER and POWELL, JJ., concur.

This opinion or decision is subject to further editing by the Supreme Court of Ohio's Reporter of Decisions. Parties interested in viewing the final reported version are advised to visit the Ohio Supreme Court's web site at:

http://www.sconet.state.oh.us/ROD/documents/. Final versions of decisions are also available on the Twelfth District's web site at:

http://www.twelfth.courts.state.oh.us/search.asp

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO

CLERMONT COUNTY

Clermont CA2007-01-001

STATE OF OHIO,	:
Plaintiff-Appellee,	: CASE NO. CA2007-01-001
- vs -	: <u>JUDGMENT ENTRY</u>
	:
FRANK SCHREIBEIS,	:
Defendant-Appellant.	:
The brief of appellant, filed pursuant to <i>Anders v. California</i> (1967), 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, properly before this court and having been considered by the court, it is ordered that the motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is hereby dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous.	
It is further ordered that a mandate be sent to the Clermont County Court of Common Pleas for execution upon this judgment and that a certified copy of this judgment entry shall constitute the mandate pursuant to App.R. 27.	
Costs to be taxed in compliance with App.R. 24.	
	William W. Young, Presiding Judge
	H.J. Bressler, Judge
	Stephen W. Powell, Judge