### IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

#### TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO

#### **BUTLER COUNTY**

STATE OF OHIO, :

Plaintiff-Appellee, : CASE NO. CA2006-02-020

: <u>DECISION</u>

- vs - 7/10/2006

:

PHILLIP G. SAULS, :

Defendant-Appellant. :

# CRIMINAL APPEAL FROM BUTLER COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS Case No. CR2005-10-1776

Robin N. Piper, Butler County Prosecuting Attorney, Daniel G. Eichel, Government Services Center, 315 High Street, 11<sup>th</sup> Fl., Hamilton, Ohio 45011, for plaintiff-appellee

Charles M. Conliff, 6660 Dixie Highway, Suite 302, Fairfield, Ohio 45014, for defendant-appellant

## Per Curiam.

- {¶1} This cause came on to be considered upon a notice of appeal, the transcript of the docket and journal entries, the transcript of proceedings and original papers from the Butler County Court of Common Pleas, and upon a brief filed by appellant's counsel, oral argument having been waived.
- **{¶2}** Counsel for defendant-appellant, Phillip G. Sauls, has filed a brief with this court pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967), 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, which (1) indicates that

Butler CA2006-02-020

a careful review of the record from the proceedings below fails to disclose any errors by the

trial court prejudicial to the rights of appellant upon which an assignment of error may be

predicated; (2) lists five potential errors "that might arguably support the appeal," Anders at

744, 87 S.Ct. at 1400; (3) requests that this court review the record independently to

determine whether the proceedings are free from prejudicial error and without infringement of

appellant's constitutional rights; (4) requests permission to withdraw as counsel for appellant

on the basis that the appeal is wholly frivolous; and (5) certifies that a copy of both the brief

and motion to withdraw have been served upon appellant.

**{¶3}** Having allowed appellant sufficient time to respond, and no response having

been received, we have accordingly examined the record and find no error prejudicial to

appellant's rights in the proceedings in the trial court. The motion of counsel for appellant

requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is dismissed for the reason that

it is wholly frivolous.

POWELL, P.J., WALSH and BRESSLER, JJ., concur.

[Cite as State v. Sauls, 2006-Ohio-3540.]