

[Cite as *In re Costlow*, 2003-Ohio-5114.]

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO
MADISON COUNTY

IN THE MATTER OF: :

CELINA ANN MARIE COSTLOW. : CASE NO. CA2003-03-009

: D E C I S I O N

: 9/29/2003

: :

: :

APPEAL FROM MADISON COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
JUVENILE DIVISION
Case No. 20230017

Stephen J. Pronai, Madison county Prosecuting Attorney, Rachel M. Price, 200 Midway Street, London, Ohio 43140, for appellee, Madison County Department of Children Services

Shannon M. Treynor, 51 North Oak Street, P.O. Box 189, London,
Ohio 43140, for appellant, Karen Costlow

Shirley C. Hansgen, 2 South Main Street, London, Ohio 43140-1211, guardian ad litem

Per Curiam.

{¶1} This cause came on to be considered upon a notice of appeal, the transcript of the docket and journal entries, the transcript of proceedings and original papers from the Madison County Court of Common Pleas, Juvenile Division, and upon a brief filed by appellant's counsel, oral argument having been waived.

{¶2} Counsel for appellant, Karen Costlow, has filed a brief with this court pursuant to Anders v. California (1967), 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, which (1) indicates that a careful review of the record from the proceedings below fails to disclose any errors by the trial court prejudicial to the rights of appellant upon which an assignment of error may be predicated; (2) lists one potential error "that might arguably support the appeal," Anders at 744, 87 S.Ct. at 1400; (3) requests that this court review the record independently to determine whether the proceedings are free from prejudicial error and without infringement of appellant's constitutional rights; (4) requests permission to withdraw as counsel for appellant on the basis that the appeal is wholly frivolous; and (5) certifies that a copy of both the brief and motion to withdraw have been served upon appellant.

{¶3} Having allowed appellant sufficient time to respond, and no response having been received, we have accordingly examined the record and find no error prejudicial to appellant's rights in the proceedings in the trial court. Therefore, the motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous.

VALEN, P.J., YOUNG and WALSH, JJ., concur.