[Cite as Alea London Ltd. v. Skeeter's 19th Hole, Inc., 2007-Ohio-6013.]

## THE COURT OF APPEALS

## ELEVENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

## **GEAUGA COUNTY, OHIO**

ALEA LONDON LIMITED,	:	MEMORANDUM OPINION
Plaintiff-Appellant,	:	CASE NO. 2007-G-2803
- VS -	:	
SKEETER'S 19TH HOLE, INC., et al.,	:	
Defendants-Appellees.	:	

Civil Appeal from the Court of Common Pleas, Case No. 06 P 001208.

Judgment: Appeal dismissed.

*Brian L. Wildermuth*, Subashi, Wildermuth & Ballato, The Greene Town Center, 50 Chestnut Street, #230, Dayton, OH 45440 (For Plaintiff-Appellant).

*Mark L. Rodio*, Frantz Ward, L.L.P., 2500 Key Center, 127 Public Square, Cleveland, OH 44114-1230 (For Defendant-Appellee, Skeeter's 19th Hole, Inc.).

*Andrew S. Goldwasser*, Ciano & Goldwasser, L.L.P., MK Ferguson Plaza, 1500 West Third Street, #460, Cleveland, OH 44113 (For Defendant-Appellee, Joyce Jean Chamberlain).

*J. Michael Monteleone*, Jeffries, Kube, Forrest & Monteleone Co., L.P.A., 1650 Midland Building, 101 Prospect Avenue, West, Cleveland, OH 44115 (For Defendant-Appellee, Ken Hopkins).

*Craig W. Bashein*, Bashein & Bashein Co., L.P.A., Terminal Tower, 35th Floor, 50 Public Square, Cleveland, OH 44113-2216 (For Defendants-Appellees, Evan Dasilva, Carlos Dasilva and Mary Dasilva).

*Paul W. Flowers*, Paul W. Flowers Co., L.P.A., Terminal Tower, 35th Floor, 50 Public Square, Cleveland, OH 44113-2216 (For Defendant-Appellee, Evan Dasilva).

## CYNTHIA WESTCOTT RICE, P.J.,

{**¶1**} On September 27, 2007, appellant, Alea London Limited, filed a notice of appeal from the September 13, 2007 judgment entry of the Geauga County Court of Common Pleas.

{**¶2**} This case stems from a declaratory judgment action in the trial court brought by appellant. In the September 13, 2007 entry, the trial court overruled a motion for summary judgment filed by appellant.

{¶3} Section 3(B)(2), Article IV of the Ohio Constitution limits the jurisdiction of an appellate court to the review of final judgments of lower courts. In order for a judgment to be final and appealable, the requirements of R.C. 2505.02 and Civ.R. 54(B), if applicable, must be satisfied. See *Alden v. Kovar*, 11th Dist. Nos. 2006-T-0050 and 2006-T-0051, 2006 WL 1816263, at ¶5, citing to *Chef Italiano Corp. v. Kent State Univ.* (1989), 44 Ohio St.3d 86, 88. Moreover, "[a]n order denying a motion for summary judgment is not a final appealable order." *State ex rel. Overmeyer v. Walinski* (1966), 8 Ohio St.2d 23, 23. However, the denial of a motion for summary judgment is always reviewable on an appeal from a subsequent final judgment. *Alden*, supra, citing to *Sagenich v. Erie Ins. Group* (Dec. 12, 2003), 11th Dist. No. 2003-T-0144, 2003 WL 22952586, at ¶3.

{**[4**} Generally, a trial court does not fulfill its function in a declaratory judgment action when it disposes of the issues by journalizing an entry merely sustaining or overruling a motion for summary judgment without setting forth any construction of the document under consideration. *Nickschinski v. Sentry Ins. Co.* (1993), 88 Ohio App.3d

2

185, 189. Here, appellant has attempted to appeal the denial of a motion for summary judgment. Therefore, we do not have a final order under R.C. 2505.02(B).

{¶5} Based upon the foregoing analysis, this appeal is dismissed due to lack of a final appealable order.

 $\{\P6\}$  Appeal dismissed.

COLLEEN MARY O'TOOLE, J.

TIMOTHY P. CANNON, J.,

concur.