

**THE COURT OF APPEALS  
ELEVENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT  
LAKE COUNTY, OHIO**

|   |   |                            |
|---|---|----------------------------|
| LAWRENCE DASCH, ADMINISTRATOR<br>OF THE ESTATE OF PAULINE DASCH,<br>DECEASED, | : | <b>MEMORANDUM OPINION</b>  |
|   | : |                            |
| Plaintiff-Appellant,  | : | <b>CASE NO. 2006-L-205</b> |
|   | : |                            |
| - vs -  | : |                            |
|   | : |                            |
| LAKE HOSPITAL SYSTEM, INC., et al.,   | : |                            |
|   | : |                            |
| Defendants-Appellees.   | : |                            |

Civil Appeal from the Court of Common Pleas, Case No. 04 CV 000012.

Judgment: Appeal dismissed.

*Lawrence Dasch*, pro se, 167 Coventry Drive, Painesville, OH 44077 (Plaintiff-Appellant).

*Susan M. Seacrist*, Reminger & Reminger Co., L.P.A., 1400 Midland Building, 101 Prospect Avenue, West, Cleveland, OH 44115 (For Defendant-Appellee, Lake Hospital System, Inc.)

*Thomas B. Kilbane* and *Kathleen A. Atkinson*, Reminger & Reminger Co., L.P.A., 1400 Midland Building, 101 Prospect Avenue, West, Cleveland, OH 44115 (For Defendants-Appellees, Dr. Barbara Jordan, D.O. and Lake Emergency Services, Inc.)

*Erin S. Hess*, Reminger & Reminger Co., L.P.A., 1400 Midland Building, 101 Prospect Avenue, West, Cleveland, OH 44115 (For Defendants-Appellees, Dr. Deithra D. Cox, M.D. and Dr. Maria T. Espinosa, M.D.)

*David H. Krause*, Reminger & Reminger Co., L.P.A., 1400 Midland Building, 101 Prospect Avenue, West, Cleveland, OH 44115 (For Defendants-Appellees, Dr. Roger Espinosa, M.D. and Lake Cardiology, Inc.)

*Beverly A. Sandacz*, Roetzel & Andress, 1375 East Ninth Street, 9th Floor, Cleveland, OH 44114 (For Defendants-Appellees, Dr. Raymond J. Salomone, M.D. and

Physicians for Pulmonary and Critical Care, Inc.)

*John L. Cullen*, 1210 Superior Building, 815 Superior Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44114  
(For Defendant-Appellee, Dr. Jay Taylor, M.D.)

*Dr. Richard A. Kucera, M.D. and Lake County Family Practice, Inc.*, pro se, c/o Charles Supinski, 9500 Mentor Avenue, #100, Mentor, OH 44060.

DIANE V. GRENDALL, J.

{¶1} On September 28, 2006, appellant, Lawrence Dasch, administrator of the estate of Pauline Dasch, deceased, filed a notice of appeal from a June 3, 2005 judgment entry of the Lake County Court of Common Pleas.

{¶2} Appellees, Lake Emergency Services, Inc. and Dr. Barbara Jordan, D.O., filed a motion to dismiss the appeal for untimeliness on October 13, 2006. Thereafter, on October 16, 2006, appellees, Dr. Deithra D. Cox, M.D. and Dr. Maria T. Espinosa, M.D., filed a motion to dismiss the appeal for untimeliness. On that same date, appellees, Dr. Roger Espinosa, M.D. and Lake Cardiology, Inc., filed a motion to dismiss the appeal, and appellee, Lake Hospital System, Inc., filed a separate motion to dismiss the appeal. On October 17, 2006, appellees, Dr. Raymond J. Salmone, M.D. and Physicians for Pulmonary and Critical Care, Inc., filed a motion to dismiss for untimeliness.

{¶3} App.R. 4(A) provides:

{¶4} “A party shall file the notice of appeal required by App.R. 3 within thirty days of the later of entry of the judgment or order appealed or, in a civil case, service of the notice of judgment and its entry if service is not made on the party within the three day rule period in Rule 58(B) of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure.”

{¶5} In addition, Loc.R. 3(D)(2) of the Eleventh District Court of Appeals provides:

{¶6} “In the filing of a Notice of Appeal in civil cases in which the trial court clerk has not complied with Ohio Civ.R. 58(B), *and the Notice of Appeal is deemed to be filed out of rule*, appellant shall attach an affidavit from the trial court clerk stating that service was not perfected pursuant to Ohio App.R. 4(A). The clerk shall then perfect service and furnish this Court with a copy of the appearance docket in which date of service has been noted. Lack of compliance shall result in the *sua sponte* dismissal of the appeal under Ohio App.R. 4(A).” (Emphasis sic.)

{¶7} In the case at bar, appellant’s notice of appeal was filed over fifteen months after the judgment had been issued by the trial court. Therefore, appellant has neither complied with the thirty-day rule set forth in App.R. 4(A) nor has he alleged that there was a failure by the trial court clerk to comply with Civ.R. 58(B). Thus, this court is without jurisdiction to consider this appeal.

{¶8} Based upon the foregoing analysis, appellees’ motions to dismiss are granted, and the appeal is dismissed pursuant to App.R. 4(A).

{¶9} Appeal dismissed.

CYNTHIA WESTCOTT RICE, J.,

COLLEEN MARY O’TOOLE, J.,

concur.