

THE COURT OF APPEALS
ELEVENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT
TRUMBULL COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO,	:	MEMORANDUM OPINION
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	
- VS -	:	CASE NO. 2004-T-0012
ROBERT GIBSON,	:	
Defendant-Appellant.	:	

Criminal Appeal from the Court of Common Pleas, Case No. 01 CR 151.

Judgment: Appeal Dismissed.

Dennis Watkins, Trumbull County Prosecutor, Administration Building, Fourth Floor, 160 High Street, N.W. Warren, OH 44481 (For Plaintiff-Appellee).

Mark S. Colucci, 1101 Bank One Building, 6 Federal Plaza Central, Youngstown, OH 44503 (For Defendant-Appellant).

WILLIAM M. O'NEILL, J.

{¶1} On February 12, 2004, appellant, Robert Gibson, filed a notice of appeal from a January 12, 2004 judgment of the Trumbull County Court of Common Pleas. In that judgment, appellant was found guilty of one count of corruption of a minor and five counts of sexual battery, and he was sentenced accordingly. Thus, appellant's notice of appeal was filed thirty-one days after the judgment had been issued by the trial court.

{¶2} App.R. 4(A) states:

{¶3} “A party shall file the notice of appeal required by App.R. 3 within thirty days of the later of entry of the judgment or order appealed or, in a civil case, service of the notice of judgment and its entry if service is not made on the party within the three day period in Rule 58(B) of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure.”

{¶4} App.R. 5(A) provides, in relevant part:

{¶5} “(1) After the expiration of the thirty day period provided by App.R. 4(A) for the filing of a notice of appeal as of right, an appeal may be taken by a defendant with leave of the court to which the appeal is taken in the following classes of cases:

{¶6} “(a) Criminal proceedings;

{¶7} “(b) Delinquency proceedings; and

{¶8} “(c) Serious youthful offender proceedings.

{¶9} “(2) A motion for leave to appeal shall be filed with the court of appeals and shall set forth the reasons for the failure of the appellant to perfect an appeal as of right.”

{¶10} In the present case, appellant has neither complied with the thirty-day rule set forth in App.R. 4(A) nor sought leave to appeal. Thus, this court is without jurisdiction to consider this appeal.

{¶11} Based upon the foregoing analysis, this appeal is sua sponte dismissed pursuant to App.R. 4(A).

{¶12} The appeal is dismissed.

Appeal dismissed.

JUDITH A. CHRISTLEY and CYNTHIA WESTCOTT RICE, JJ., concur.