

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

**June 25, 2020**

[Cite as *06/25/2020 Case Announcements*, 2020-Ohio-3445.]

---

## MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

**2019-0515. State ex rel. Frank v. Ohio State Univ., Slip Opinion No. 2020-Ohio-3422.**

In Mandamus. Writ denied. Relator's motion to strike portions of respondent's evidence submission denied. Sua sponte, Kelly Smith's affidavit, Robert Moormann's affidavit, and the Ohio State University's exhibits L, M, and N ordered to be placed under seal.

O'Connor, C.J., and French, Fischer, DeWine, Donnelly, and Stewart, JJ., concur.

Kennedy, J., concurs in judgment only.

**2019-1791. State ex rel. Xenia v. Greene Cty. Bd. of Commrs., Slip Opinion No. 2020-Ohio-3423.**

Greene App. No. 2018CA0021, 2019-Ohio-4805. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Kennedy, French, Fischer, DeWine, Donnelly, and Stewart, JJ., concur.

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

**2014-0989. State v. Roberts.**

Trumbull C.P. No. 2001CR00793. On appellant's motion for stay of execution pending disposition of available state remedies. Motion granted. The stay shall remain in effect until exhaustion of all state postconviction proceedings, including any appeals.

**2020-0784. State ex rel. Bobovnyik v. Mahoning Cty. Bd. of Elections.**

In Mandamus. On relator's motion to expedite. Motion granted. The following schedule is set for the filing of the answer, briefs and evidence: Respondent shall file an answer to the complaint within five days from the date of service of the summons, relator shall file his brief and evidence within ten days from the date of filing of respondent's answer, respondent shall file its brief and evidence within ten days from the date of filing of relator's brief, and relator shall file his reply brief within five days from the date of filing of respondent's brief.

**MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS**

**2020-0288. State v. Vasquez.**

Summit App. No. CA-29422. Appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction, due June 10, 2020, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Cause dismissed.