

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

May 1, 2017

[Cite as *05/01/2017 Case Announcements*, 2017-Ohio-2583.]

---

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

**2017-0236. *Pi in the Sky, L.L.C. v. Testa.***

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2015-2005. On motion to refer case to mediation. Motion granted.

## MEDIATION MATTERS

The following cases have been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 19.01(A).

**2017-0522. *State ex rel. Shelter v. Indus. Comm.***

Franklin App. No. 16AP-119, 2017-Ohio-1063.

**2017-0529. *State ex rel. Meilstrup v. Indus. Comm.***

Franklin App. No. 16AP-250, 2017-Ohio-811.

**2017-0544. *Daher v. DeWine.***

In Mandamus and Prohibition.

The following cases have been returned to the regular docket under S.Ct.Prac.R. 19.01. The appellants in each case shall file a brief within 40 days, and the parties shall otherwise proceed in accordance with S.Ct.Prac.R. 16.02 through 16.07. As provided in S.Ct.Prac.R. 16.07, the court may dismiss these cases or take other action if the parties fail to timely file merit briefs.

**2016-1497. Lakewood City Schools Bd. of Edn. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2016-177.

**2016-1608. Cole SN Canton Ohio, L.L.C. v. Stark Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2015-2046.

**2016-1752. ARCP LS Lakewood, OH, L.L.C. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2015-1853.

**2016-1753. ARCP LS S. Euclid, OH, L.L.C. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2015-1852.

**2016-1754. ARCP LS S. Euclid, OH, L.L.C. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2015-1854.

**2017-0064. MK Menlo Property Owner, L.L.C. v. Summit Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2015-1845, 2015-1869, and 2015-1870.

**2017-0109. State ex rel. Cook v. Indus. Comm.**

Franklin App. No. 15AP-1025, 2016-Ohio-8497.

**2017-0141. State ex rel. Wegman v. Ohio Police & Fire Pension Fund.**

Franklin App. No. 16AP-112, 2016-Ohio-8270.

The following case is returned to the regular docket under S.Ct.Prac.R. 19.01. Respondent shall file a response to the complaint within 21 days.

**2017-0331. State ex rel. Rogers v. Dept. of Rehab. & Corr.**

In Mandamus.