

[Cite as *State ex rel. Carroll v. Galion Assisted Living, Ltd.*, 149 Ohio St.3d 326, 2016-Ohio-8117.]

**THE STATE EX REL. CARROLL, APPELLANT, v. GALION ASSISTED LIVING, LTD.,  
ET AL., APPELLEES.**

**[Cite as *State ex rel. Carroll v. Galion Assisted Living, Ltd.*,  
149 Ohio St.3d 326, 2016-Ohio-8117.]**

*Workers' compensation—Claimant had adequate remedy in ordinary course of law  
by way of appeal—Court of appeals' judgment denying writ of mandamus  
affirmed.*

(No. 2015-1879—Submitted August 30, 2016—Decided December 14, 2016.)

APPEAL from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County,

No. 14AP-944, 2015-Ohio-4874.

---

**Per Curiam.**

{¶ 1} Appellant, Tamara L. Carroll, injured her knee while working. A worker's compensation claim was allowed for a medial meniscus tear of the right knee. Several months later, Joseph Guth, M.D., performed arthroscopic surgery on Carroll's knee and found no evidence of a meniscus tear. Based on this new evidence, appellee Industrial Commission exercised its continuing jurisdiction and disallowed Carroll's claim.

{¶ 2} Carroll filed a petition in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County pursuant to R.C. 4123.512, alleging that she was entitled to participate in the workers' compensation system for her workplace injuries. Carroll also filed a complaint for a writ of mandamus in the Tenth District Court of Appeals, alleging that the commission's decision to deny her claim was an abuse of discretion. The court of appeals denied the writ of mandamus on the basis that Carroll had an adequate remedy at law. 2015-Ohio-4874, ¶ 6.

{¶ 3} This cause is before the court on Carroll's appeal as of right.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

{¶ 4} Orders that grant or deny a claimant’s right to participate in the workers’ compensation system are appealable to a court of common pleas under R.C. 4123.512. *State ex rel. Liposchak v. Indus. Comm.*, 90 Ohio St.3d 276, 278-279, 737 N.E.2d 519 (2000). Here, the commission’s order disallowed Carroll’s claim and prevented her from further participation in the workers’ compensation fund. Thus, Carroll had an adequate remedy by way of appeal under R.C. 4123.512, and she properly exercised her right to appeal when she filed a petition in the common pleas court.

{¶ 5} Consequently, because Carroll had a plain and adequate remedy at law by way of appeal, the court of appeals lacked jurisdiction to issue a writ of mandamus. *State ex rel. Alhamarshah v. Indus. Comm.*, 142 Ohio St.3d 524, 2015-Ohio-1357, 33 N.E.3d 43, ¶ 11. We affirm the judgment of the court of appeals.

Judgment affirmed.

O’CONNOR, C.J., and PFEIFER, O’DONNELL, LANZINGER, KENNEDY, FRENCH, and O’NEILL, JJ., concur.

---

Michael J. Muldoon, for appellant.

Michael DeWine, Attorney General, and Eric J. Tarbox, Assistant Attorney General, for appellee Industrial Commission.

Dawson & Myers, L.L.C., and Shane M. Dawson, for appellee Galion Assisted Living, Ltd.

---