## IN RE R.A.H.

## [Cite as *In re R.A.H.*, 148 Ohio St.3d 531, 2016-Ohio-7592.]

- Court of appeals' judgment as to proposition of law No. I reversed, and cause remanded to court of appeals to apply In re A.G.—Proposition of law No. II dismissed as having been improvidently accepted.
- (No. 2015-1610—Submitted August 17, 2016—Decided November 3, 2016.)

  APPEAL from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County,

  No. 101936, 2015-Ohio-3342.
- $\{\P 1\}$  The judgment of the court of appeals as to proposition of law No. I is reversed, and the cause is remanded to the court of appeals to apply *In re A.G.*, 148 Ohio St.3d 118, 2016-Ohio-3306, 69 N.E.3d 646.
- $\{\P\ 2\}$  Proposition of law No. II of the appeal is dismissed as having been improvidently accepted.
- O'CONNOR, C.J., and PFEIFER, LANZINGER, FRENCH, and O'NEILL, JJ., concur.
- O'DONNELL and KENNEDY, JJ., dissent and would affirm the judgment of the court of appeals for the reasons stated in the dissenting opinion in *In re A.G.*

Timothy Young, Ohio Public Defender, and Brooke M. Burns, Assistant Public Defender, for appellant, R.A.H.