DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL V. FORD.

[Cite as *Disciplinary Counsel v. Ford*, 147 Ohio St.3d 1220, 2016-Ohio-5914.] (No. 2011-2042—Submitted September 16, 2016—Decided September 22, 2016.) ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT.

{¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, George Cook Ford III, Attorney Registration No. 0011982, last known address in Norwalk, Ohio.

 $\{\P 2\}$ The court coming now to consider its order of September 5, 2012, wherein the court, pursuant to former Gov.Bar R. V(6)(B)(3), suspended respondent from the practice of law for a period of two years with six months stayed on conditions, finds that respondent has substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(24).

 $\{\P 3\}$ Therefore, it is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio.

 $\{\P 4\}$ It is further ordered that the clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(D)(1) and that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(D)(2).

{¶ 5} For earlier case, see *Disciplinary Counsel v. Ford*, 133 Ohio St.3d 105, 2012-Ohio-3915, 976 N.E.2d 846.

O'CONNOR, C.J., and PFEIFER, O'DONNELL, LANZINGER, KENNEDY, FRENCH, and O'NEILL, JJ., concur.