

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

June 1, 2016

[Cite as *06/01/2016 Case Announcements*, 2016-Ohio-3255.]

---

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

**2016-0723. State ex rel. Allen Cty. Children Servs. Bd. v. Mercer Cty. Common Pleas Court, Probate Div.**

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that a peremptory writ of prohibition is granted. Respondents are hereby prohibited from exercising jurisdiction in the case captioned *In the Matter of the Placement and Adoption of M.A.S.A.*, Mercer County Common Pleas Court, Probate Division, Case No. 2016 5005, consistent with the opinion to follow. Costs assessed to respondents.

It is further ordered by the court that respondents' motion to seal exhibits and motion to seal answer and motion to dismiss are granted, and respondents' motion to dismiss is denied.

O'Connor, C.J., and Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.  
Pfeifer and O'Donnell, JJ., dissent and would grant the motion to dismiss.

**2016-0763. State ex rel. Anderson v. Derryberry.**

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition.

Upon consideration of relators' motion to seal the record, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

Upon consideration of respondent's motion to dismiss, it is ordered by the court that the motion to dismiss is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.  
Pfeifer and O'Donnell, JJ., dissent and would deny the motion to dismiss and grant a peremptory writ.