

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

May 13, 2016

[Cite as *05/13/2016 Case Announcements, 2016-Ohio-2956.*]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

1995-0042. State v. Wogenstahl.

Hamilton App. No. C-930222. In accordance with the court's decision granting the motion to reopen appellant's direct appeal, the clerk shall issue an order for the transmittal of the record from the Court of Appeals for Hamilton County and the parties shall brief this case in accordance with Rules 16.01 through 16.10 of the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio. The parties' briefs shall be limited to addressing whether the trial court lacked jurisdiction with respect to the count in the indictment charging aggravated murder with death-penalty specifications.

2016-0476. State v. Jordan.

Montgomery App. No. 26163, 2016-Ohio-603. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Review of appellee's memorandum in response reveals that it fails to comply with S.Ct.Prac.R. 7.03(B)(1), which requires that a memorandum "shall not exceed fifteen numbered pages, exclusive of the certificate of service." Therefore, it is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that pages 16 and 17 of the memorandum in response are stricken, as they are in excess of the 15-page limit imposed on content for a memorandum in response.

2016-0583. Rogers v. Eppinger.

Lorain App. No. 16CA010920. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Review of appellant's memorandum in support of jurisdiction reveals that it fails to comply with S.Ct.Prac.R. 7.02(B)(1), which requires that a memorandum

“shall not exceed fifteen numbered pages, exclusive of the table of contents and certificate of service.” Therefore, it is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that pages 16 through 21 of the memorandum in support of jurisdiction are stricken, as they are in excess of the 15-page limit imposed on content for a memorandum in support of jurisdiction.