The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

January 6, 2016

[Cite as 01/06/2016 Case Announcements, 2016-Ohio-12.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2015-1448. Peters v. Tipton.

Harrison App. No. 13 HA 10, 2015-Ohio-3307. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon consideration of the motions for admission pro hac vice of D. Alicia Hickok and Todd N. Hutchison, it is ordered by the court that the motions are granted. Pursuant to Gov.Bar R. XII(4), counsel shall file a notice of permission to appear pro hac vice with the Supreme Court's Office of Attorney Services within 30 days of the date of this entry.

2015-1836. State v. Swanson.

Ashtabula App. No. 2015-A-0006, 2015-Ohio-4027. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon consideration of the motion for admission pro hac vice of Leonard G. Ambrose III, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted. Pursuant to Gov.Bar R. XII(4), counsel shall file a notice of permission to appear pro hac vice with the Supreme Court's Office of Attorney Services within 30 days of the date of this entry.

2015-1871. Cooper v. Commercial Sav. Bank.

Wyandot App. No. 16-14-08, 2015-Ohio-4131. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon consideration of appellee Charles Bartholomew's motion to file his motion to strike under seal, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

2015-1931. Chumlea v. Chumlea.

Clark App. No. 2014 CA 075, 2015-Ohio-4197. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon consideration of the motion for admission pro hac vice of James A. Alexander, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted. Pursuant to Gov.Bar R. XII(4), counsel shall file a notice of permission to appear pro hac vice with the Supreme Court's Office of Attorney Services within 30 days of the date of this entry.

2015-1981. Arnold v. Burger King.

Cuyahoga App. No. 101465, 2015-Ohio-4485. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon consideration of the motion for admission pro hac vice of Catherine A. Miller, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied because the motion was not filed by the attorney seeking admission as required by S.Ct.Prac.R. 2.02(B).