

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

September 23, 2015

[Cite as *09/23/2015 Case Announcements*, 2015-Ohio-3855.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2013-1826. Holman Rentals, L.L.C. v. Wood Cty. Bd. of Revision, Slip Opinion No. 2015-Ohio-3820.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2013-2761. Decision vacated and cause remanded.
O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

2013-1827. Chio v. Wood Cty. Bd. of Revision, Slip Opinion No. 2015-Ohio-3823.

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2013-2763, 2013-2764, and 2013-2765. Decision vacated and cause remanded.
O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

2013-1828. Queen v. Wood Cty. Bd. of Revision, Slip Opinion No. 2015-Ohio-3821.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2013-2762. Decision vacated and cause remanded.
O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2015-1338. State ex rel. Henderson v. Sutula.

Cuyahoga App. No. 102840, 2015-Ohio-2710. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County.

Upon consideration of appellee's motion to strike appellant's motion for leave, or in the alternative, motion for leave to respond to the motion for leave, it is ordered by the court that the motion to strike is denied as moot because the motion for leave to proceed was not required.

2010-1406. State v. Pickens.

Hamilton C.P. No. B0905088. This cause came for further consideration upon appellant's filing of a motion for stay of execution of death sentence pending disposition of available state remedies.

Upon consideration of appellant's motion for stay of execution of death sentence pending disposition of available state remedies, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted. It is further ordered that this stay shall remain in effect until exhaustion of all state postconviction proceedings, including any appeals.

It is further ordered that appellant's motion for appointment of counsel is granted and Tyson Fleming and Daniel Jones are appointed to represent appellant for the purpose of filing an application to reopen his direct appeal pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 11.06.