# The Supreme Court of Phio

#### CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

# August 4, 2015

[Cite as 08/04/2015 Case Announcements, 2015-Ohio-3110.]

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

# 2015-0287. Schutz v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2013-6565. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of the joint motion to remand the appeal to the Board of Tax Appeals in order to implement a settlement, it is ordered by the court that the cause is remanded to the Board of Tax Appeals to take further action as appropriate.

It is further ordered that a mandate be sent to and filed with the Board of Tax Appeals.

# 2015-0516. Azer v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2013-6575. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of the joint motion to remand the appeal to the Board of Tax Appeals in order to implement a settlement, it is ordered by the court that the cause is remanded to the Board of Tax Appeals to take further action as appropriate.

It is further ordered that a mandate be sent to and filed with the Board of Tax Appeals.

# 2015-0518. Schottenstein v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2013-6577. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of the joint motion to remand the appeal to the Board of Tax Appeals in order to implement a settlement, it is ordered by the court that the

cause is remanded to the Board of Tax Appeals to take further action as appropriate.

It is further ordered that a mandate be sent to and filed with the Board of Tax Appeals.

## 2015-0682. Schmelzer v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2014-4848. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of the joint motion to remand the appeal to the Board of Tax Appeals in order to implement a settlement, it is ordered by the court that the cause is remanded to the Board of Tax Appeals to take further action as appropriate.

It is further ordered that a mandate be sent to and filed with the Board of Tax Appeals.

### 2015-1057. Vlcek v. Chodkowski.

Montgomery App. No. 26078, 2015-Ohio-1943. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon consideration of appellee/cross-appellant's application to dismiss cross-appeal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, the cross-appeal is dismissed. The appeal in this case remains pending.

### MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

## 2015-0373. Davis v. Stark Area Regional Transit Auth.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus.

Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

# 2015-0553. State ex rel. Collins v. Plageman.

Franklin App. No. 14AP-186, 2015-Ohio-736. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County.

Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

2 08-04-15

It is further ordered that a mandate be sent to and filed with the clerk of the Court of Appeals for Franklin County.

### 2015-0725. Elder v. Bradshaw.

In Habeas Corpus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

Upon consideration of petitioner's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

### 2015-0799. State ex rel. Johnson v. Calabrese.

Cuyahoga App. No. 102607. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County. The records of this court indicate that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due July 22, 2015, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that this cause is dismissed.

It is further ordered that a mandate be sent to and filed with the clerk of the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County.

3 08-04-15