

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

October 2, 2014

[Cite as *10/02/2014 Case Announcements*, 2014-Ohio-4363.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2013-0608. State ex rel. Parraz v. Diamond Crystal Brands, Inc., Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-4260.

Franklin App. No. 11AP-806, 2013-Ohio-764. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, and French, JJ., concur.

O'Neill, J., dissents without opinion.

2013-1982. Dayton Bar Assn. v. Scaccia, Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-4278.

On Certified Report by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline, No. 12-013. John Joseph Scaccia, Attorney Registration No. 0022217, is suspended from the practice of law for one year, with six months stayed on conditions.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2014-0249. Krehnbrink v. Testa.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2012-2368. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of appellee's motion to withdraw motion to dismiss, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

2014-1586. State ex rel. Lorain Cty. Commrs. v. Lorain Cty. Common Pleas Court.

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition.

On September 25, 2014, this court issued an order disqualifying respondent, Judge James M. Burge, from acting as a judge pursuant to Gov.Jud.R. III(6)(A). Therefore, it is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that within ten days of the date of this entry relator shall file a notice of substitution of respondent that informs this court of the new administrative judge of the Lorain County Court of Common Pleas. Upon the filing of the notice of substitution, the new administrative judge shall be substituted for respondent Judge James M. Burge in this action.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2014-1617. State ex rel. Siler-Stoll v. Ohio Dept. of Dev. Disabilities.

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition.

Upon consideration of relators' application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.