

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

May 19, 2014

[Cite as *05/19/2014 Case Announcements*, 2014-Ohio-2101.]

---

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

### **2014-0683. Toland v. Ohio State Univ.**

Franklin App. No. 14AP-205. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County.

Upon consideration of appellant's motion for mediation, it is ordered by the court that the motion for mediation is denied.

## DISCIPLINARY CASES

### **2014-0197. Disciplinary Counsel v. Smith.**

This cause is pending before the court upon the filing of a report by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline that recommends the court impose discipline against respondent. On May 1, 2014, respondent filed a motion for leave to file a reply brief. On May 5, 2014, relator filed a motion to strike respondent's motion for leave to file a reply brief.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that respondent's motion for leave to file a reply brief is denied and relator's motion to strike is denied.

## MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

### **2014-0160. State ex rel. Toledo Blade Co. v. Meyer.**

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus.

Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

**2014-0357. In re C.J.**

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition.

Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

**MEDIATION MATTERS**

The following cases have been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 19.01(A):

**2014-0693. Columbus City Schools Bd. of Edn. v. Franklin Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2010-3505.

**2014-0700. Euclid City School Dist. Bd. of Edn. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2012-1042.

**2014-0712. Revco Discount Drug Ctrs., Inc. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2011-4407.

**2014-0714. Famicos Notre Dame Apts. Ltd. Partnership v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2011-1407 through 2011-1415 and 2011-1418.

**2014-0720. Lakewood Local Schools Bd. of Edn. v. Licking Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2013-215.

**2014-0721. Columbus City Schools Bd. of Edn. v. Franklin Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2013-4176 through 2013-4178.

**2014-0722. Sears Roebuck & Co. v. Franklin Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2011-406.

**2014-0723. Columbus City Schools Bd. of Edn. v. Franklin Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2011-2109.

**2014-0724. Columbus City Schools Bd. of Edn. v. Franklin Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2013-3521 through 2013-3527 and 2013-3531.

**2014-0725. Tan Pro, Inc. v. Testa.** Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2010-A-2425.

**2014-0736. Maumee City Schools Bd. of Edn. v. Lucas Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2011-141 and 2011-289.

**2014-0740. Dublin City Schools Bd. of Edn. v. Franklin Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2013-520.

**2014-0744. Steak N Shake, Inc. v. Warren Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2010-3527.

**2014-0748. Scaglione v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2013-513.

**2014-0749. School Choice Ohio, Inc. v. Cincinnati Pub. School Dist.**

In Mandamus.

**2014-0766. State ex rel. Williams v. Indus. Comm.**

Franklin App. No. 13AP-407, 2014-Ohio-1490.

**2014-0771. State ex rel. PolyOne Corp. v. Indus. Comm.**

Franklin App. No. 12AP-313, 2014-Ohio-1376.

The following cases have been returned to the regular docket:

**2013-1884. State ex rel. Autumn Health Care of Zanesville, Inc. v. Ohio Atty. Gen.**

In Mandamus. The court hereby returns this case to the regular docket under S.Ct.Prac.R. 19.01. Respondents shall file a response to the complaint within 21 days of the date of this entry.

**2014-0531. Cincinnati v. Testa.**

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2011-143 through 2011-148. The court hereby returns this case to the regular docket under S.Ct.Prac.R. 19.01. Appellant/cross-appellee shall file a brief within 40 days of the date of this entry and the parties shall otherwise proceed in accordance with S.Ct.Prac.R. 16.02 through 16.07. As provided in S.Ct.Prac.R. 16.07, the court may dismiss this case or take other action if the parties fail to timely file merit briefs.

**2014-0532. Cunningham v. Testa.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2011-4641. The court hereby returns this case to the regular docket under S.Ct.Prac.R. 19.01. Appellant shall file a brief within 40 days of the date of this entry and the parties shall otherwise proceed in accordance with S.Ct.Prac.R. 16.02 through 16.07. As provided in S.Ct.Prac.R. 16.07, the court may dismiss this case or take other action if the parties fail to timely file merit briefs.