The Supreme Court of Phio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

May 15, 2014

[Cite as 05/15/2014 Case Announcements, 2014-Ohio-2043.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2012-1432. Dublin City Schools Bd. of Edn. v. Franklin Cty. Bd. of Revision, Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-1940.

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2009-Q-1282 through 2009-Q-1301 and 2009-Q-1408. Motion for reconsideration granted in part, decision affirmed in part and reversed in part, and cause remanded.

O'Connor, C.J., and Lanzinger, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur. Pfeifer, O'Donnell, and Kennedy, JJ., dissent in part.

2012-2110. CitiMortgage, Inc. v. Roznowski, Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-1984.

Stark App. No. 2012-CA-93, 2012-Ohio-4901. Judgment reversed and cause remanded.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, and French, JJ., concur.

O'Neill, J., dissents.

2013-1492. State ex rel. Fears v. Myers, Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-1939.

Hamilton App. No. C-130461. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

2013-0830. State v. Hamon, Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-1927.

Delaware App. No. 12 CAA 12 0089. Judgment vacated and cause remanded.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2014-0608. Paramount Farms Internatl., L.L.C. v. Ventilex B.V.

Butler App. No. CA2013-04-060, 2014-Ohio-986. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon consideration of the motion for admission pro hac vice of Donald H. Chase, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted. Pursuant to Gov.Bar R. XII(4), counsel shall file a notice of permission to appear pro hac vice with the Supreme Court's Office of Attorney Services within 30 days of the date of this entry.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2009-2284. Butler Cty. Bar Assn. v. Minamyer.

This cause came on for further consideration upon respondent's filing of a motion to purge contempt.

Upon consideration thereof, this court orders that the motion is granted. It is further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until (1) respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio, (2) respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio, (3) respondent complies with all orders of the court, and (4) this court orders respondent reinstated.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2014-0367. State ex rel. Balas-Bratton v. St. John.

Stark App. No. 2014CA00025. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Stark County. The records of this court indicate that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due May 5, 2014, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that this cause is dismissed.

It is further ordered that a mandate be sent to and filed with the clerk of the Court of Appeals for Stark County.

2 05-15-14