

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

January 2, 2013

[Cite as *01/02/2013 Case Announcements, 2013-Ohio-1.*]

---

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

**2012-1795. State ex rel. Catudal v. Browne.**

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus.

Upon consideration of relator's motion to strike respondents' response, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

**2012-1902. State ex rel. Richard v. Mohr.**

Franklin App. No. 11AP-780, 2012-Ohio-4413. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County.

Upon consideration of appellants' motion for appointment of counsel, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

## DISCIPLINARY CASES

**2011-0023. Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Hauck.**

This cause came on for further consideration upon relator's motion to waive requirement of monitoring attorney.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

## **MEDIATION MATTERS**

The following cases have been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 19.01(A):

**2012-2040. State ex rel. Varney v. Indus. Comm.**

Franklin App. No. 11AP-585, 2012-Ohio-4904.

**2012-2041. Columbus City Schools Bd. of Edn. v. Franklin Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2010-K-1655.

**2012-2043. Kettering City Schools Bd. of Edn. v. Montgomery Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2009-K-3467.

**2012-2087. Brooklyn City School Dist. Bd. of Edn. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2009-Q-3738.

**2012-2100. State ex rel. 4445 Ltd. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

In Mandamus and Procedendo.

**2012-2107. Mason City School Dist. Bd. of Edn. v. Warren Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2009-K-2364.

The following cases have been returned to the regular docket pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 19.01(E).

The appellants in each case shall file a brief within 40 days of the date of these entries, and the parties shall otherwise proceed in accordance with S.Ct.Prac.R. 16.02 through 16.07. As provided in S.Ct.Prac.R. 16.07, the court may dismiss these cases or take other action if the parties fail to timely file merit briefs.

**2012-0815. State ex rel. Roxbury v. Indus. Comm.**

Franklin App. No. 11AP-125, 2012-Ohio-1310.

**2012-1542. Akron City School Dist. Bd. of Edn. v. Summit Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2009-K-3018.

**2012-1670. State ex rel. Wyrick v. Indus. Comm.**

Franklin App. No. 11AP-653, 2012-Ohio-4127.

**2012-1827. State ex rel. Robinson v. Indus. Comm.**

Franklin App. No. 11AP-900, 2012-Ohio-4372.