

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

May 7, 2012

[Cite as *05/07/2012 Case Announcements*, 2012-Ohio-1997.]

SLIP OPINIONS REPLACED BY OHIO OFFICIAL REPORTS VERSIONS AS OF MAY 7, 2012

The official versions of the opinions listed below, which were previously released as slip opinions, have been published in the May 7, 2012 Ohio Official Reports advance sheet. These opinions should now be cited using the Ohio Official Reports citation format.

2010-1283. State ex rel. Warner v. Indus. Comm., 131 Ohio St.3d 366, 2012-Ohio-1084.

2010-1681. State ex rel. Hodge v. Ryan, 131 Ohio St.3d 357, 2012-Ohio-999.

2010-2199. Disciplinary Counsel v. Johnson, 131 Ohio St.3d 372, 2012-Ohio-1284.

2011-0230. State v. Carrick, 131 Ohio St.3d 340, 2012-Ohio-608.

2011-0340. Dayton Bar Assn. v. Parisi, 131 Ohio St.3d 345, 2012-Ohio-879.

2011-1073. Young v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Mental Retardation & Dev. Disabilities, 131 Ohio St.3d 370, 2012-Ohio-1222.

2011-1428. Medina Cty. Bar Assn. v. Malynn, 131 Ohio St.3d 377, 2012-Ohio-1293.

2011-1483. Strothers v. Norton, 131 Ohio St.3d 359, 2012-Ohio-1007.

2011-1687. State ex rel. Chatfield v. Flautt, 131 Ohio St.3d 383, 2012-Ohio-1294.

2011-1721. Columbus Bar Assn. v. Craig, 131 Ohio St.3d 364, 2012-Ohio-1083.

2011-1957. Dillard v. Cleveland Metro. School Dist., 131 Ohio St.3d 371, 2012-Ohio-1223.

2012-0030. State v. Gates, 131 Ohio St.3d 372, 2012-Ohio-1221.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2011-2013. Hewitt v. L.E. Myers Co.

Cuyahoga App. No. 96138, 2011-Ohio-5413. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right.

Upon consideration of the joint motion to schedule oral argument on the same date as case No. 2011-1076, Houdek v. ThyssenKrupp Materials N.A., Inc., Cuyahoga App. No. 95399, 2011-Ohio-1694, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

2012-0027. In re Complaint of Ohiotelnet.com, Inc. v. Windstream Ohio, Inc. Public Utilities Commission, No. 09-515-TP-CSS. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Upon consideration of the motion of Windstream Ohio, Inc. for leave to intervene as appellee, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2012-0709. State v. Bryant.

This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right.

Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.