The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

January 5, 2012

[Cite as 01/05/2012 Case Announcements #2, 2012-Ohio-18.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2012-0019. Wilson v. Kasich

This cause originated in this court upon the filing of a complaint invoking this court's original jurisdiction pursuant to Article XI, Section 13, of the Ohio Constitution.

It is ordered by the court that the clerk shall serve a summons and a copy of the complaint on respondents as provided for by S.Ct.Prac.R. 10.4.

It is further ordered that the following schedule is set for the consideration of this case. Respondents shall file a response to the complaint within five days of the date of service of the summons and complaint. Any evidence in this case shall be filed no later than Tuesday, January 17, 2012. Relators shall file their merit brief no later than Monday, January 23, 2012, respondents shall file their merit brief no later than Monday, January 30, 2012, and relators may file a reply brief no later than Friday, February 3, 2012. No stipulations for an extension of time or requests for an extension of time may be filed in this case.

It is further ordered that the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio shall govern the procedure and the form of documents filed in this action. All briefs filed in this case shall conform to the requirements set forth in S.Ct.Prac.R. 6.1 through 6.8 and 8.1 through 8.7, to the extent those rules are applicable. The Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure shall supplement the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio for this case, unless clearly inapplicable.

It is further ordered that all documents filed in this case shall be served on the date of filing by personal service, facsimile transmission, or e-mail.

2012-0019. Wilson v. Kasich

This cause originated in this court upon the filing of a complaint invoking this court's original jurisdiction pursuant to Article XI, Section 13, of the Ohio Constitution.

Upon consideration of the motions for admission pro hac vice filed by Noah G. Purcell, Kevin J. Hamilton and Abha Khanna, it is ordered by the court that the motions are denied. Each applicant shall be permitted to resubmit a motion for admission pro hac vice with an unexpired certificate of registration attached and that is otherwise in compliance with S.Ct.Prac.R. 1.2 and Gov.Bar R. XII(2)(A)(6)(a) through (e), within five days of the date of this entry.