

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

April 3, 2012

[Cite as *04/03/2012 Case Announcements*, 2012-Ohio-1485.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2010-0731. In re C.P., Slip Opinion No. 2012-Ohio-1446.

Athens App. No. 09CA41, 2010-Ohio-1484. Judgment reversed and cause remanded.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, Lanzinger, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

O'Donnell and Cupp, JJ., dissent.

2010-1705. In re All Cases Against Sager Corp., Slip Opinion No. 2012-Ohio-1444.

Cuyahoga App. No. 93567, 188 Ohio App.3d 796, 2010-Ohio-3872. Judgment reversed and cause remanded.

O'Connor, C.J., and Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

Pfeifer, J., dissents.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

1988-1265. State v. Wiles.

Portage App. No. 1675. By entry filed June 14, 2011, this court ordered that appellant's sentence be carried into execution on Wednesday, April 18, 2012. In order to facilitate this court's timely consideration of any matters relating to the execution of appellant's sentence,

It is ordered by the court that the Chief Justice may suspend application of any provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court, including, but not limited to, the filing requirements imposed by S.Ct.Prac.R. 14.1.

It is further ordered that service of documents as required by S.Ct.Prac.R. 14.2, shall be personal, by facsimile transmission, or by email.

It is further ordered that counsel of record for the parties shall provide this court with a copy of any document relating to this matter that is filed in, or issued by, any other court in this state or any federal court, as well as any commutation, pardon, or warrant of reprieve issued by the governor. A copy of the document shall be delivered to the Office of the Clerk as soon as possible, either personally, by facsimile transmission, or by email.

2011-1328. State v. Friedman.

Wayne App. No. 10CA0025, 2011-Ohio-2989. This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of a joint motion for clarification of mandate. It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied as moot.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2012-0278. Disciplinary Counsel v. Cicero.

This cause is pending before the court upon the filing of a report by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline. On March 20, 2012, the Board filed a motion to supplement the record with a corrected transcript of the hearing held on November 14, 2011.

On consideration thereof, it is ordered by this court that the motion to supplement the record is granted.

2012-0278. Disciplinary Counsel v. Cicero.

This cause is pending before the court upon the filing of a report by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline.

Upon consideration of respondent's motion to remand to the board for reconsideration of findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation, it is ordered by the court that the motion to remand is granted. The board's review of the case upon remand shall be limited to consideration of the corrected hearing transcript.

Upon consideration of respondent's motion to extend or vacate the briefing schedule, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted. Proceedings before this court in this case are stayed until further order of this court.

2012-0516. In re Jacobs.

On March 28, 2012, and pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(5)(A)(3), the Secretary of the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline of the Supreme Court of Ohio certified to the Supreme Court a certified copy of a judgment entry of a

felony conviction against Leslie William Jacobs, an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Ohio.

Upon consideration thereof and pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(5)(A)(4), it is ordered and decreed that Leslie William Jacobs, Attorney Registration No. 0020387, last known business address in Cleveland, Ohio, is suspended from the practice of law for an interim period, effective as of the date of this entry.

It is further ordered that this matter is referred to the disciplinary counsel for investigation and commencement of disciplinary proceedings.

It is further ordered that respondent immediately cease and desist from the practice of law in any form and is forbidden to appear on behalf of another before any court, judge, commission, board, administrative agency, or other public authority.

It is further ordered that effective immediately, respondent is forbidden to counsel or advise, or prepare legal instruments for others, or in any manner perform legal services for others.

It is further ordered that respondent is divested of each, any, and all of the rights, privileges, and prerogatives customarily accorded to a member in good standing of the legal profession of Ohio.

It is further ordered that before entering into an employment, contractual, or consulting relationship with any attorney or law firm, respondent shall verify that the attorney or law firm has complied with the registration requirements of Gov.Bar R. V(8)(G)(3). If employed pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(8)(G), respondent shall refrain from direct client contact except as provided in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(G)(1), and from receiving, disbursing, or otherwise handling any client trust funds or property.

It is further ordered that pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(3)(G), respondent shall complete one credit hour of continuing legal education for each month or portion of a month of the suspension. As part of the total credit hours of continuing legal education required by Gov.Bar R. X(3)(G), respondent shall complete one credit hour of instruction related to professional conduct required by Gov.Bar R. X(3)(A)(1), for each six months or portion of six months of the suspension.

It is further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until (1) respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio, (2) respondent complies with this and all other orders issued by this court, (3) respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio, and (4) this court orders respondent reinstated.

It is further ordered, *sua sponte*, by the court, that within 90 days of the date of this order, respondent shall reimburse any amounts that have been awarded by the Clients' Security Fund pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VIII(7)(F). It is further ordered,

sua sponte, by the court that if after the date of this order, the Clients' Security Fund awards any amount against respondent pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VIII(7)(F), respondent shall reimburse that amount to the Clients' Security Fund within 90 days of the notice of such award.

It is further ordered that on or before 30 days from the date of this order, respondent shall:

1. Notify all clients being represented in pending matters and any co-counsel of respondent's suspension and consequent disqualification to act as an attorney after the effective date of this order and, in the absence of co-counsel, also notify the clients to seek legal service elsewhere, calling attention to any urgency in seeking the substitution of another attorney in respondent's place;
2. Regardless of any fees or expenses due respondent, deliver to all clients being represented in pending matters any papers or other property pertaining to the client, or notify the clients or co-counsel, if any, of a suitable time and place where the papers or other property may be obtained, calling attention to any urgency for obtaining such papers or other property;
3. Refund any part of any fees or expenses paid in advance that are unearned or not paid, and account for any trust money or property in respondent's possession or control;
4. Notify opposing counsel in pending litigation or, in the absence of counsel, the adverse parties of respondent's disqualification to act as an attorney after the effective date of this order, and file a notice of disqualification of respondent with the court or agency before which the litigation is pending for inclusion in the respective file or files;
5. Send all such notices required by this order by certified mail with a return address where communications may thereafter be directed to respondent;
6. File with the clerk of this court and the disciplinary counsel of the Supreme Court an affidavit showing compliance with this order, showing proof of service of notices required herein, and setting forth the address where the affiant may receive communications; and
7. Retain and maintain a record of the various steps taken by respondent pursuant to this order.

It is further ordered that respondent shall keep the clerk and disciplinary counsel advised of any change of address where respondent may receive communications.

It is further ordered, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness

of filings. All case documents are subject to Sup.R. 44 through 47, which govern access to court records.

It is further ordered, *sua sponte*, that service shall be deemed made on respondent by sending this order, and all other orders in this case, to respondent's last known address.

It is further ordered that the clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISALS

2011-1258. State ex rel. Redman v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 10AP-107, 2011-Ohio-3299. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County.

Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

It is further ordered that a mandate be sent to the Court of Appeals for Franklin County by certifying a copy of this judgment entry and filing it with the Clerk of the Court of Appeals for Franklin County.

2012-0072. State ex rel. Watson v. Moore.

Franklin App. No. 11AP-3, 2011-Ohio-6386. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. The records of this court indicate that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due March 19, 2012, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that this cause is dismissed.

It is further ordered that a mandate be sent to the Court of Appeals for Franklin County by certifying a copy of this judgment entry and filing it with the Clerk of the Court of Appeals for Franklin County.