

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

January 6, 2011

[Cite as *01/06/2011 Case Announcements, 2011-Ohio-8.*]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

In re Bozsik.

On June 4, 2008, this court found Steven A. Bozsik to be a vexatious litigator under S.Ct.Prac.R. 14.5(B). This court further ordered that Bozsik was prohibited from continuing or instituting legal proceedings in this court without first obtaining leave. On January 3, 2011, Bozsik submitted an application for leave to file an original action in procedendo and mandamus against Honorable Thomas P. Curran. Upon review of the proffered filing, the court finds it to be without merit.

Accordingly, it is ordered by the court that Steven A. Bozsik's January 3, 2011 application for leave is denied.

2010-1673. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Walker.

Franklin App. No. 09AP-947. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. On September 24, 2010, appellant filed a notice that a motion to certify a conflict was pending in the court of appeals and, pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 4.4(A)(4), this court stayed consideration of the jurisdictional memoranda filed in this appeal. Whereas appellant has neither notified this court that the court of appeals determined that a conflict does not exist as provided by S.Ct.Prac.R. 4.4(B) nor filed a copy of the court of appeals' order certifying the existence of a conflict as provided by S.Ct.Prac.R. 4.4(C),

It is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that appellant show cause within fourteen days of the date of this entry why this court should not proceed to consider the jurisdictional memoranda in this appeal pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 3.6.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Continuing Legal Education Suspension	:	
Jana Bassinger DeLoach	:	
Respondent.	:	ORDER OF
	:	REINSTATEMENT
	:	
Jana Bassinger DeLoach	:	(0071743)
PO Box 2385	:	
Akron OH 44309	:	January 4, 2011

On December 17, 2010, respondent was suspended from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(5). On January 4, 2011, respondent applied for reinstatement to the practice of law and complied with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7).

Upon consideration thereof and pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7), respondent is reinstated to the practice of law.

In re Continuing Legal Education Suspension	:	
George Ray Hamm	:	ORDER OF
Respondent.	:	REINSTATEMENT
	:	
	:	(0074825)
George Ray Hamm	:	
602 Seventh Street	:	
Portsmouth OH 45662	:	January 5, 2011

On December 17, 2010, respondent was suspended from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(5). On January 5, 2011, respondent applied for reinstatement to the practice of law and complied with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7).

Upon consideration thereof and pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7), respondent is reinstated to the practice of law.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2010-1277. State ex rel. Serv. Emps. Internatl. Union Dist. 1199 v. Univ. of Cincinnati.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relators' application for dismissal,

It is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.