

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

**December 19, 2011**

[Cite as *12/19/2011 Case Announcements*, 2011-Ohio-6506.]

---

## **SLIP OPINIONS REPLACED BY OHIO OFFICIAL REPORTS VERSIONS AS OF DECEMBER 19, 2011**

The official versions of the opinions listed below, which were previously released as slip opinions, have been published in the December 19, 2011 Ohio Official Reports advance sheet. These opinions should now be cited using the Ohio Official Reports citation format.

**2009-1735. Engel v. Univ. of Toledo College of Medicine, 130 Ohio St.3d 263, 2011-Ohio-3375.**

**2010-1228. State v. Heft, 130 Ohio St.3d 270, 2011-Ohio-5714.**

**2010-1925. State v. Ginley, 130 Ohio St.3d 1214, 2011-Ohio-5447.**

**2010-1975 and 2010-2232. State v. Lampkin, 130 Ohio St.3d 270, 2011-Ohio-5715.**

**2011-0412. State v. Hooper, 130 Ohio St.3d 271, 2011-Ohio-5716.**

**2011-0851. State v. Triplett, 130 Ohio St.3d 272, 2011-Ohio-5717.**

**2011-1024. Disciplinary Counsel v. Lape, 130 Ohio St.3d 273, 2011-Ohio-5757.**

**2011-1133. State v. El-Amin, 130 Ohio St.3d 273, 2011-Ohio-5718.**

**2011-1223. State ex rel. Martin v. Russo, 130 Ohio St.3d 269, 2011-Ohio-5516.**

## **MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS**

### **In re Lewis.**

On May 11, 2005, this court found Sidney T. Lewis and Yvonne D. Webb-Lewis to be vexatious litigators under S.Ct.Prac. R. 14.5(B). This court further ordered that Sidney T. Lewis and Yvonne D. Webb-Lewis were prohibited from continuing or instituting legal proceedings in this court without first obtaining leave. On December 7, 2011, Sidney T. Lewis and Yvonne D. Webb-Lewis submitted a motion for leave to file a motion to correct a clerical mistake in reporting of Supreme Court Case No. 2002-1462.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that the motion for leave of Sidney T. Lewis and Yvonne D. Webb-Lewis is denied.

## **MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS**

### **2011-0221. State ex rel. Defiance Cty. Bd. of Comm. v. Hilkert.**

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus.

Upon consideration of relators' application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

### **2011-1971. State ex rel. Gant v. Sutula.**

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus.

Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.