The Supreme Court of Phio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

December 5, 2011

[Cite as 12/05/2011 Case Announcements, 2011-Ohio-6193.]

SLIP OPINIONS REPLACED BY OHIO OFFICIAL REPORTS VERSIONS AS OF DECEMBER 5, 2011

The official versions of the opinions listed below, which were previously released as slip opinions, have been published in the December 5, 2011 Ohio Official Reports advance sheet. These opinions should now be cited using the Ohio Official Reports citation format.

2010-0672. State ex rel. Smith v. Cincinnati Schools, 130 Ohio St.3d 194, 2011-Ohio-5026.

2010-0857. Huff v. FirstEnergy Corp., 130 Ohio St.3d 196, 2011-Ohio-5083.

2010-1535. State ex rel. Cinergy Corp./Duke Energy v. Heber, 130 Ohio St.3d 194, 2011-Ohio-5027.

2010-1671. State v. Freeman, 130 Ohio St.3d 205, 2011-Ohio-5162.

2011-0052. Artisan Mechanical, Inc. v. Beiser, 130 Ohio St.3d 1208, 2011-Ohio-5451.

2011-0320. State ex rel. Skyway Invest. Corp. v. Ashtabula Cty. Court of Common Pleas, 130 Ohio St.3d 220, 2011-Ohio-5452.

2011-0455. State ex rel. Baroni v. Colletti, 130 Ohio St.3d 208, 2011-Ohio-5351.

2011-0571. State ex rel. Striker v. Cline, 130 Ohio St.3d 214, 2011-Ohio-5350.

2011-0871. State ex rel. Galloway v. Lucas Cty. Court of Common Pleas, 130 Ohio St.3d 206, 2011-Ohio-5259.

2011-0922. State ex rel. Lane v. Pickerington, 130 Ohio St.3d 225, 2011-Ohio-5454.

2011-0959. State ex rel. Williams v. Sieve, 130 Ohio St.3d 207, 2011-Ohio-5258.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2011-1755. Disciplinary Counsel v. Whitfield.

Upon review of respondent's November 29, 2011 motion for an extension of time to file objections in this matter, the certificate of service reflects that service of the motion was not made on a party as required by S.Ct.Prac.R. 14.2(A).

It is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that respondent shall immediately serve a copy of the motion upon Disciplinary Counsel. Disciplinary Counsel may file a memorandum opposing the motion within ten days of the date of this order.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2011-1839. Schafer v. Soderberg & Schafer CPAs, L.L.C.

Ottawa App. No. OT-10-009, 2011-Ohio-4687. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and cross-appeal and claimed appeal of right. It appears from the records of the court that the appellees/cross-appellants have not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction for their cross-appeal, due November 28, 2011, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio and therefore have failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that the cross-appeal is dismissed sua sponte. The discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right remain pending.

2 12-05-11