

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

March 17, 2011

[Cite as *03/17/2011 Case Announcements, 2011-Ohio-1230.*]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2010-1166. Williams v. Ohio Dept. of Job & Family Servs.

Cuyahoga App. No. 93594, 2010-Ohio-2222. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County.

Upon consideration of appellee's motion to allow legal intern Jill Murphey to participate in oral argument, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2004-0060. Ohio State Bar Assn. v. Wolfson.

On February 17, 2011, respondent, Richard Evan Wolfson, filed an application for termination of probation. Upon consideration thereof, the court finds that respondent has substantially complied with Gov.Bar R. V(9)(D), and with its order, dated September 15, 2008, in which the court reinstated respondent to the practice of law and placed him on monitored probation for a period of two years.

On consideration thereof, it is ordered by this court that the probation of respondent, Richard Evan Wolfson, Attorney Registration No. 0041470, last known business address in Portsmouth, Ohio, is terminated.

It is further ordered that the clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

2008-1202. Lorain Cty. Bar Assn. v. Robinson.

This matter is before the court upon respondent's filing of a response to the show-cause order issued by this court on February 17, 2011.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that no action shall be taken at this time, provided that respondent files his affidavit of compliance within ten days of the date of this order.

2009-1145. Cleveland Metro. Bar Assn. v. Nance.

This cause is before the court upon the respondent's filing of a document styled "affidavit of compliance" pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(8)(E)(3) and this court's November 9, 2009 order of suspension.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that the document is stricken because the affidavit is not notarized.

It is further ordered that within ten days of the date of this order, the respondent shall file a notarized affidavit of compliance as required by Gov.Bar R. V(8)(E)(3) and the court's suspension order.

2010-2021. Disciplinary Counsel v. Squire.

This cause is pending before the court upon the filing of findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline and upon the briefs of the parties. On February 28, 2011, the court granted relator's unopposed motion to strike the attachments to respondent's answer brief. On March 3, 2011, respondent filed a motion to strike relator's motion to strike attachments to respondent's answer brief or to impose a new response date, which included a request to vacate the February 28, 2011 order.

Upon consideration of respondent's motions, it is ordered that respondent's motion to strike relator's motion to strike is denied and respondent's motion to impose a new response date is granted. Respondent's response to relator's motion to strike shall be filed on or before March 21, 2011. No request for extension of this deadline is permitted. The court's order granting relator's motion to strike the attachments to respondent's answer brief, dated February 28, 2011, is hereby vacated.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2010-1777. State ex rel. Scarborough v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 09AP-1041, 2010-Ohio-4020. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County.

Upon consideration of the joint application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

2010-1839. State ex rel. Wasinski v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 09AP-875, 2010-Ohio-4511. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. The records of this court indicate that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due March 07, 2011, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that this cause is dismissed.

2011-0404. State ex rel. Marshall v. Whitney.

In Procedendo. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of procedendo.

Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

MEDIATION REFERRALS

The following case has been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 17.1(A):

2011-0343. Cleveland Hts./Univ. Hts. City School Dist. Bd. of Edn. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2006-V-2112.

The following cases have been returned to the regular docket pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 17.1(E):

2010-1283. State ex rel. Warner v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 09AP-841, 2010-Ohio-2476.

2010-1907. State ex rel. Gambill v. Opperman.

In Mandamus.

2010-1909. State ex rel. Grossenbacker v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 09AP-779, 2010-Ohio-4265.

2010-2065. State ex rel. Tindira v. Ohio Police & Fire Pension Fund.

Franklin App. No. 09AP-1049, 2010-Ohio-5078.