

**GOINS, APPELLANT, v. PINEDA, WARDEN, APPELLEE.**

**[Cite as *Goins v. Pineda*, 128 Ohio St.3d 358, 2011-Ohio-529.]**

*Subsequent petition for habeas corpus relief — Res judicata bars a second petition — Judgment affirmed.*

(No. 2010-1736 — Submitted February 2, 2011 — Decided February 9, 2011.)

APPEAL from the Court of Appeals for Hocking County, No. 09CA5.

---

**Per Curiam.**

{¶ 1} We affirm the judgment of the court of appeals denying the motion of appellant, Dana Goins, for leave to file a second petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Res judicata bars Goins from filing a successive habeas corpus petition to raise claims that he raised or could have raised in his previous petition. See *State ex rel. Johnson v. Pineda*, 126 Ohio St.3d 480, 2010-Ohio-4387, 935 N.E.2d 38, ¶ 1.<sup>1</sup>

Judgment affirmed.

O’CONNOR, C.J., and PFEIFER, LUNDBERG STRATTON, O’DONNELL, LANZINGER, CUPP, and MCGEE BROWN, JJ., concur.

---

Dana Goins, pro se.

Michael DeWine, Attorney General, and M. Scott Criss, Assistant Attorney General, for appellee.

---

---

1. We deny appellant’s motion for oral argument.