

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

October 5, 2009

[Cite as *10/05/2009 Case Announcements*, 2009-Ohio-5266.]

SLIP OPINIONS REPLACED BY OHIO OFFICIAL REPORTS VERSIONS AS OF OCTOBER 5, 2009

The official versions of the opinions listed below, which were previously released as slip opinions, have been published in the October 5, 2009 Ohio Official Reports advance sheet. These opinions should now be cited using the Ohio Official Reports citation format.

2008-0304 and 2008-0403. Safeco Ins. Co. of Am. v. White, 122 Ohio St.3d 562, 2009-Ohio-3718.

2008-1337. Williams v. Spitzer Autoworld Canton, L.L.C., 122 Ohio St.3d 546, 2009-Ohio-3554.

2008-1480. Cincinnati City School Dist. Bd. of Edn. v. State Bd. of Edn., 122 Ohio St.3d 557, 2009-Ohio-3628.

2008-2139. State ex rel. Laborers Internatl. Union of N. Am., Local Union No. 500 v. Summerville, 122 Ohio St.3d 1234, 2009-Ohio-4090.

2008-2493. Disciplinary Counsel v. Ridenbaugh, 122 Ohio St.3d 583, 2009-Ohio-4091.

2009-0424. Durain v. Sheldon, 122 Ohio St.3d 582, 2009-Ohio-4082.

2009-0099. State v. Jackson, 122 Ohio St.3d 1235, 2009-Ohio-4087.

2009-0982. Ohio State Bar Assn. v. Pinnacle Title Corp., 122 Ohio St.3d 592, 2009-Ohio-4206.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

In re Hughley.

On September 16, 2009, this court found Kevin Hughley to be a vexatious litigator under S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(5)(B). This court further ordered that Hughley was prohibited from continuing or instituting legal proceedings in this court without first obtaining leave. On September 25, 2009, Hughley submitted a motion for leave per order of September 16, 2009 to file a motion for reconsideration, and on September 28, 2009, Hughley submitted a motion to leave per court order of September 16, 2009 to reverse judgment of the court of appeals. Upon review of the proffered motions for leave,

It is ordered by the court that Kevin Hughley's motions for leave are denied.

2009-0948. State ex rel. Brown v. Lemmerman.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of respondent's motion for order requiring that the affidavit of Cynthia Lemmerman be maintained under seal,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2009-1417. State ex rel. GMS Mgt. Co., Inc. v. Vivo.

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition. Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal,

It is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

2009-1648. State ex rel. Ohio Christian Alliance v. Strickland.

In Mandamus and Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus and prohibition. Upon consideration of relators' application for dismissal,

It is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

2009-1679. Guaranteed Rate, Inc. v. James.

Warren App. No. CA2009-04-038. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. It appears from the records of the

court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction, due September 28, 2009, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that this cause is dismissed sua sponte.