

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

August 18, 2009

[Cite as *08/18/2009 Case Announcements*, 2009-Ohio-4143.]

---

## MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

**2008-2167. State ex rel. Keyes v. Ohio Pub. Emps. Retirement Sys., Slip Opinion No. 2009-Ohio-4052.**

In Mandamus. Writ granted.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

**2008-2220. State ex rel. Ford Motor Co., Sharonville Transm. Plant v. Johnson, Slip Opinion No. 2009-Ohio-4049.**

Franklin App. No. 07AP-1084, 2008-Ohio-4890. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

**2009-0090 and 2009-0318. In re M.M., Slip Opinion No. 2009-Ohio-4048.**

Montgomery App. Nos. 22872 and 22873, 2008-Ohio-6236. Certified question answered in the negative, and judgment of the court of appeals affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

**2009-0100. State ex rel. Meridia Health Sys. v. Indus. Comm., Slip Opinion No. 2009-Ohio-4047.**

Franklin App. No. 07AP-826, 2008-Ohio-6222. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

**2009-0449. Krooss v. Murray, Slip Opinion No. 2009-Ohio-4051.**

Greene App. No. 2008-CA-100, 2009-Ohio-214. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

**2009-0464. State ex rel. Rose v. McGinty, Slip Opinion No. 2009-Ohio-4050.**

Cuyahoga App. No. 92578, 2009-Ohio-458. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

## **MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS**

**2009-1460. State v. White.**

Warren App. No. CA2008-10-120. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. It appears from the records of the court that the appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction, due August 13, 2009, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that this cause is dismissed sua sponte.

It is further ordered that the motion for stay of the court of appeals' judgment is denied as moot.