

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

September 26, 2008

[Cite as *09/26/2008 Case Announcements*, 2008-Ohio-4880.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2007-2443. State v. Pasqualone.

Ashtabula App. No. 2007-A-0005, 2007-Ohio-6725. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Ashtabula County. Upon consideration the motion for leave of amicus curiae, Office of the Ohio Attorney General, to participate in oral argument scheduled for Wednesday, October 8, 2008, in support of appellant,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is granted and amicus curiae shall share the time allotted to appellant.

2008-1842. State ex rel. Myles v. Brunner.

In Mandamus. This cause is pending before the court as an original action involving an expedited election matter. Upon consideration of relator's motion to waive S.Ct.Prac.R. VIII(5)(A)(8),

It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied in part. Relator shall file seven copies of the evidence within two days of the date of this entry.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2008-1310. Williamson v. Walles.

Lucas App. No. L-08-1010, 2008-Ohio-3847. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

It is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Report of the Commission
On Continuing Legal Education.

Case No. CLE-1998-29975

Hilary Jay Lerman
(#0029975),
Respondent.

ORDER

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (“commission”) pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1996-1997 reporting period.

On August 31, 1999, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7), respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio, respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court, and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On September 2, 2008, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2) finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies, and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. The commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during the suspension by this court’s order of suspension. Respondent has satisfied all the requirements of this court’s order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Hilary Jay Lerman, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.

MEDIATION REFERRALS

The following case has been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6):

2008-1599. Sexton 1987 Hillside Ltd. Partnership IX v. Montgomery Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2007-V-1056.

The following case has been returned to the regular docket pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6)(E):

2008-1505. State ex rel. Kappes v. Shoe Carnival, Inc.

Franklin App. No. 07AP-812, 2008-Ohio-3295.