

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

January 3, 2008

[Cite as *01/03/2008 Case Announcements, 2008-Ohio-3.*]

---

## MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

**2005-1656. State v. Davis, Slip Opinion No. 2008-Ohio-2.**

Licking C.P. No. 04 CR 464. Judgment affirmed.

Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, and Lanzinger, JJ., concur.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer and Cupp, JJ., concur in part and dissent in part.

**2007-0516. Hembree v. Mendenhall, Slip Opinion No. 2008-Ohio-1.**

Butler App. No. CA2006-06-129, 2007-Ohio-459. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Connor, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

Lundberg Stratton and O'Donnell, JJ., dissent for the reasons stated in the dissenting opinion of O'Donnell, J., in *Vitantonio, Inc. v. Baxter*, 116 Ohio St.3d 195, 2007-Ohio-6052.

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

**2007-0924. Sylvania Church of God v. Levin.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2006-B-48. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for rehearing,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied as moot in light of the notice of oral argument filed on December 21, 2007. Pursuant to said notice, oral argument shall be held before a master commissioner on February 13, 2008.

**2007-2158. State ex rel. Oko v. McDonnell.**

Cuyahoga App. No. 90119, 2007-Ohio-5331. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for a supplemental transcript,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

### **DISCIPLINARY CASES**

**2004-1060. Dayton Bar Assn. v. Sebree.**

On application for reinstatement of Ronald H. Sebree, Attorney Registration No. 0063210. Application granted, respondent reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio, and probation imposed.

### **MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS**

**2007-1828. Evans v. Mazda Motors of Am., Inc.**

Scioto App. No. 06CA3118, 2007-Ohio-4622. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

It is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

### **MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS**

In re Report of the Commission  
On Continuing Legal Education.

Case No. CLE-2003-63210

Ronald Howard Sebree  
(#0063210),  
Respondent.

E N T R Y

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education ("commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 2001-2002 reporting period.

On December 5, 2003, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for

reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7); respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio; respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court; and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On December 28, 2007, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2) finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies, and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. The commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during the suspension by this court's order of suspension. Respondent has satisfied all the requirements of this court's order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, Ronald Howard Sebree, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law. Respondent's reinstatement is subject to the conditions contained in the order issued on this same date in 2004-1060, *Dayton Bar Assn. v. Sebree*.

### **MEDIATION REFERRALS**

The following case has been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6):

**2007-1722. Southside Community Dev. Corp. v. Levin.**  
Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2006-T-635.