

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

May 9, 2008

[Cite as *05/09/2008 Case Announcements*, 2008-Ohio-2193.]

---

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

### **2003-1572. State ex rel. Howard v. Indus. Comm.**

Franklin App. No. 97AP-860. On September 24, 2004, this court found appellant Gregory T. Howard to be a vexatious litigator under S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(5)(B). This court further ordered that appellant was prohibited from continuing or instituting legal proceedings in the court without obtaining leave.

On May 6, 2008, Howard filed a motion for leave to file an action against the Supreme Court of Ohio in order to change the result in *Mayer v. Bristow* (2000), 91 Ohio St.3d 3.

It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

### **2007-1451. In re A.J.S.**

Franklin App. No. 06AP-597, 173, Ohio App.3d 171, 2007-Ohio-3216. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of the joint motion to allow amicus curiae, Justice for Children Project, to participate in oral argument scheduled for Wednesday, May 21, 2008, in support of appellant,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is granted, and amicus curiae shall share the time allotted to appellant.

### **2007-1741. State v. Lang.**

Stark C.P. No. 2006CR1824A. This cause is pending before the court as a death penalty appeal from the Court of Common Pleas for Stark County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion to withdraw the motion to supplement record,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

### **2008-0623. State ex rel. Ohio Family First v. Clunk.**

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition. Upon consideration of relators' motion for a settlement conference,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

## **DISCIPLINARY CASES**

### **2005-1545. Disciplinary Counsel v. Croushore.**

On March 31, 2008, respondent, Paul Gregory Croushore, Attorney Registration No. 0055524, last known business address in Cincinnati, Ohio, filed an application for termination of probation. Upon consideration thereof, the court finds that respondent has substantially complied with Gov.Bar R. V(9)(D) and with its order dated February 15, 2006, in which the court suspended respondent for a period of twelve months with the entire suspension stayed on condition and placed respondent on probation for a period of two years.

Therefore, it is ordered by this court that the probation of respondent is terminated.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

### **2007-1349. Disciplinary Counsel v. Firstenberger.**

On application for reinstatement of Lester Firstenberger, Attorney Registration No. 0066155. Application granted and respondent reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio.

## **MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS**

### **2008-0639. Reicosky v. McCammon.**

Stark App. No. 2006 CA 00342, 2008-Ohio-669. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. Upon consideration of appellants' application for dismissal,

It is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.